



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PARTS 1, 2 & 3

Prepared for the Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board for the Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2

May 23, 2016





ROCHESTER SCHOOLS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM – PHASE 2 DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE SIGNATURE PAGE

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions				
SEQR Status:				
Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: Part 1 Part 2 Part 3				
Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information				
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board as lead agency that:				
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.				
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:				
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.d).				
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.				
Name of Action: Rochester School Modernization Program - Phase 2				
Name of Lead Agency: Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board				
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Tom Richards				
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman				
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:				
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)				
For Further Information:				
Contact Person: Thomas M. Renauto, Executive Director				
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue, Rochester, NY 14621				
Telephone Number: 585-512-3806				
E-mail: trenauto@aol.com				
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:				
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.nv.gov/enb/enb.html				

Full Environmental Assessment Form - Parts 1, 2, 3

for the

Rochester School Modernization Program (RSMP) - Phase 2

Table of Contents

Process Summary		1
Involved and Interested Agencies		5
SEQRA Long Form EAF Verification	n PageAfter P	age 9
Project Map	After SEQRA Long Form EAF Verification	ı Page
School #1 (Anderson)		A
School #2 (Barton)		В
School #4 (Forbes)		С
School #6 (Hammerskjold)		D
School #7 (Grissom)		Е
School #37/10 (Cooper)		F
School #16 (Spencer)		G
School #25 (Freddie Thomas)		Н
School #54/30 (Flower City)		l
School #103 (East)		J
School #107 (Monroe)		K
School #111 (Edison Tech)		L
School #121 (SWW)		М
Lead Agency Notification Correspo	ondence	O
Natural Heritage Responses		P
SHPO Responses		0

<u>Editor's Note</u>: School numbers refer to State Education Department (SED) designations, not local RCSD school numbers. Some inconsistencies exist.

Rochester Schools Modernization Plan (RSMP) Process Summary

History

In 2004, the Rochester City School District (RCSD) initiated the planning process to create a 10-year Facilities Modernization Program (RSMP). Its goal was to develop a progressive blueprint for facility planning in the Rochester City Schools that would promote the instructional needs of students and identify the physical infrastructure needs of its educational facilities.

In 2007, legislation was passed authorizing \$325 million in borrowing to modernize up to 13 school buildings and a District-Wide Technology project (Phase 1); the legislation included the establishment of the Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board (RJSCB), which was charged with overseeing the modernization program. The Statute authorized Phase 1 with the understanding additional phases were envisioned.

The 2007 Phase 1 plan was modified to reflect the Statute, Strategic Plan initiatives proposed by the District, and input from the New York State Education Department's Facilities personnel. The development of this updated plan was driven by the need to bring about major improvements in academic achievement and address operating expenses. It was also intended to provide guidance in the selection of an independent Program Manager. The updated plan endeavored to:

- Create new educational settings and models for nurturing students;
- Promote educational and facility equity across the District
- Prioritize investment in existing facilities first;
- Right-size the inventory of school buildings
- Ensure compliance with the Statute; and
- Limit the local investment to five percent or less;

The RJSCB was formed in October 2008 and a Cooperative Agreement among the RJSCB, the RCSD and the City of Rochester was executed in January 2009 and stipulated the roles and responsibilities of the partners. The RJSCB worked with the RCSD Facilities Department to update the existing modernization plan as the most expedient way to move the modernization program forward.

This plan identified multiple phases extended over a period of 10 to 15 years. During Phase 1, 13 buildings were selected for inclusion in Phase 1, although it was understood that the actual plan for Phase 1 would ultimately be finalized by the RJSCB following the selection of a Program Manager and the solicitation of public feedback on the plan.

In July 2010, the Rochester Joint School Construction Board (RJSCB) engaged partners Gilbane Building Company and Savin Engineers, P.C. as Program Manager in accordance with the Statute. Gilbane/Savin's role is to oversee the program from draft to execution

that reflects the updated master plan; the Superintendent's Strategic Plan and vision for increasing academic achievement; and the financial constraints of the District.

RJSCB engaged SWBR Architects to work with the Program Manager on providing the foundation for the planning and design of school buildings that support the academic programs and, as a resource, contribute to student achievement by aligning facilities planning with educational needs. The initial Plan outlining Phase 1 schools was developed in late 2010 and, following an environmental review of the funding associated with Phase 1, project architects were chosen and 13 schools were updated. A draft update to the RSMP with Phases 2, 3, and 4 was prepared in December 2015 and submitted to RJSCB, RCSD Administration, and other stakeholders for review and comment. This updated Plan is now subject to environmental review as outlined at the end of this summary.

Objectives of the Modernization Plan

The purpose of the Comprehensive School Facilities Modernization Plan (Rochester Schools Modernization Plan) is to develop a system-wide strategy to identify capital investments for the modernization and renovation of the 51 schools in the Rochester City School District in four phases over a period of 15 years. In order to complete this task, the planning team worked toward the following objectives:

- Validate enrollment projections by grade and school for regular education students, and special educational students, and generate, if necessary, revised enrollment projections
- Develop space standards for each school level to accommodate curriculum needs, and to evaluate existing facilities against these standards in terms of space quality and enrollment capacity
- Ensure that facilities are adequate to maintain existing programs and to accommodate new program initiatives such as full-day Pre K and expanded magnet programs
- Warrant that the physical condition of existing facilities is adequate to support programs and to identify and prioritize corrective measures for deficiencies
- Work within the current capital plans for new projects
- Propose school sizes that respond to programs needs and make sound educational sense
- Propose solutions that are fiscally responsible, flexible, and can be implemented within a time frame that corresponds to district needs
- Forward projects that, upon completion, will remain valid for at least the next three decades

Stakeholder and Community Input

During the initial development of the Plan in 2010, the planning process included public review and comment through a concentrated series of meetings, site visits, and technical analysis to identify the complex and varied factors inherent in the development of a cost effective and flexible plan for the Rochester City School District. At that time, the RJSCB invited the community to a series of dialogue sessions in each school zone to provide input regarding the master plan development. Community members received background information regarding the District's philosophy, Strategic Plan, and its facilities. Participants posed questions that were responded to and then posted to a website specifically designed for the RSMP.

Interviews were conducted with selected administrators, staff, City officials, and school principals to identify the issues and educational policy and program requirements to be addressed in the Master Plan. The result of this process was identification of tasks required for the Program Manager to complete the work.

In addition, RJSCB set up Building Advisory Committee's (BAC) for each school as part of the community outreach/involvement effort during the design and construction phase. The BAC included representatives from RJSCB, the District, City of Rochester, design professionals, school parents, and community and neighborhood groups. This provided a means for further facilitation of communication between the stakeholders.

As Phase 1 work was underway, refinement of the RSMP and development of Phases 2, 3, and 4 began. The RJSCB undertook the same planning process that included public review and comment in order to update the Plan.

Tasks Completed to Develop the Modernization Plan

- Reviewed facility usage, grade configurations, program offerings, etc.
- Reviewed facility activity (closings, consolidations, phase-out)
- Assessed current conditions of all school facilities (infrastructural, ADA and code compliance, maintenance)
- Created model program for K-8 and 9-12 schools that encompass the Strategic Plan and Superintendent's vision
- Assessed requirements of each building to meet the model program through "test fits"
- Developed methodology for a practical and fair way to prioritize the buildings that best meet the criteria
- Considered the City of Rochester's Focused Investment Strategy to leverage capital investments
- Gathered community comments and dialog

Environmental Review Requirements

The Proposed Action that the RJSCB will be undertaking is the procurement of funding for RSMP - Phase 2 Program. Therefore, in accordance with State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), Article 8 part 617 of the Environmental Conservation Law, the RJSCB must conduct this environmental review of the Phase 2 Program. Pursuant to Part 617.5, the Proposed Action is categorized as a Type 1 Action and as such required a coordinated environmental review and lead agency designation.

The first step in assessing the environmental impacts associated with the Proposed Type 1 Action was the preparation of Part 1 of the Environmental Assessment Forms (EAF). RJSCB prepared the enclosed EAFs and distributed them to Involved Agencies on February 9, 2016; Interested Agencies were notified of the project at this time as well. The initial Phase 2 submission had presumed work at up to 24 schools as enabled by the Phase 2 legislation from New York State for inclusion of up to 25 total schools. During the course of the environmental review process RJSCB finalized the Phase 2 Strategic Plan, as approved by the Board on March 24, 2016, and reduced the list by 14 previously considered schools as well as adding work for three (3) additional schools. The final Phase 2 schools consist of 13 schools as outlined in this document. As this project involves work at multiple sites, an EAF was prepared for each school candidate identified in Phase 2. The determination of environmental significance for the Proposed Action will be based upon review of individual school's environmental impacts, as well as the cumulative environmental impacts of the collective Phase 2 program.

RJSCB will also request Lead Agency Status for the Project's review and determination of environmental significance; consent to act as Lead Agency will be sent to Involved Agencies following the Board's acceptance of Part 1 of the EAF. A complete listing of the Involved / Interested Agencies is included in this Document.

Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board School Modernization Plan – Phase 2

Lead Agency

 Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board Thomas S. Richards, Chair 1776 North Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14621

Involved Agencies

 Rochester City School District Board of Education –APPROVALS/FUNDING Van Henri White, Board President 131 West Broad Street Rochester, NY 14614

 Rochester City Hall –APPROVALS/FUNDING Lovely A. Warren, Mayor
 Church Street Rochester, NY 14614

 Rochester City Council –APPROVALS/FUNDING Loretta C. Scott, President City Hall, Room 301A Rochester, NY 14614-1265

County of Monroe Industrial Development Agency – FUNDING POTENTIAL (BONDING)
 Paul Johnson, Acting Executive Director
 City Place Suite 8100
 50 West Main Street
 Rochester, NY 14614

Dormitory Authority of the State of New York – FUNDING POTENTIAL (BONDING)
 Debra Drescher, Managing General Counsel
 515 Broadway
 Albany, NY 12207-2964

 NYS Office of the State Comptroller – APPROVALS/FUNDING Division of Local Government and School Accountability Andrew A. SanFilippo, Executive Deputy Comptroller 110 State Street, 12th Floor Albany, NY 12236 NYS Department of Environmental Conservation – Region 8 – PERMIT POTENTIAL Scott Sheely, Regional Permit Administrator
 6274 East Avon-Lima Road Avon, NY 14414-9519

NYS Education Department – FUNDING POTENTIAL (EXCEL)
 Office of Facilities Planning
 Carl Thurnau, Director
 Room 1060 Education Building Annex
 Washington Avenue
 Albany, NY 12234

 Rochester Department of Environmental Services – NO APPROVALS/FUNDING Norman H. Jones, Commissioner
 Church Street, Room 300B Rochester, NY 14614

 Monroe County Department of Health – NO APPROVALS/FUNDING Jeremy T. Cushman, MD, MS, FACEP, Interim Commissioner
 Westfall Road, Room 952 Rochester, NY 14692

11. Monroe County Department of Transportation – PERMIT POTENTIAL Terrance J. Rice, P.E., Director50 West Main StreetRochester, NY 14614

12. NYS Department of Transportation – Region 4 – PERMIT POTENTIAL Kevin Bush, Regional Director 1530 Jefferson Road Rochester, NY 14623

13. City of Rochester Department of – NO APPROVALS/FUNDING Recreation and Youth Services Marisol O. Ramos-Lopez, Commissioner 400 Dewey Avenue Rochester NY 14613

 Monroe County Department of Parks – NO APPROVALS/FUNDING Lawrence A. Staub, Jr., Director
 171 Reservoir Avenue Rochester, NY 14620 15. Monroe County Pure Waters 444 East Henrietta Road, Bldg 15 Rochester, NY 14620

Interested Agencies

- Rochester City School District
 Linda Cimusz, Interim Superintendent
 131 West Broad Street
 Rochester, NY 14614
- Rochester Bureau of Planning and Zoning Zina Lagonegro, Director City Hall, Room 125B Rochester, NY 14614
- Rochester City Police Department Operations Bureau Deputy Chief Scott Peters City Public Safety Building 185 Exchange Boulevard Rochester, NY 14614
- Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority Bill Carpenter, Chief Executive Officer 1372 East Main Street Rochester, NY 14609
- New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation Ruth Pierpont, Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation Peebles Island State Park P.O. Box 189 Waterford, New York 12188-0189
- Rochester Fire Department
 Attn: John Schreiber, Fire Chief
 185 Exchange Boulevard, Suite 673
 Rochester, NY 14614

Neighborhood Service Centers

- Northwest Quadrant Neighborhood Service Center Ron Penders, NSC Administrator
 Parkway – First Floor Rochester, NY 14608
- Northeast Quadrant Neighborhood Service Center Pamela Reese Smith, NSC Administrator 500 Norton Street Rochester, NY 14621
- Southwest Quadrant Neighborhood Service Center David Hawkes, NSC Administrator
 923 Genesee Street Rochester, NY 14611
- Southeast Quadrant Neighborhood Service Center Nancy Johns-Price, NSC Administrator
 N Goodman Street – Suite 209 Rochester, NY 14607

Neighborhood/Community Associations

- Charlotte Community Association 12768 Charlotte Station Rochester, NY 14612
- Maplewood Community Association 411 Seneca Parkway Rochester, NY 14613
- Lyell-Otis Neighborhood Association
 Attn: Carla M. Palumbo, Northwest Councilwoman
 1002 Glide Street
 Rochester, NY 14606
- Southwest Area Neighborhood Association 275 Dr. Samuel McCree Way Rochester, NY 14611
- Corn Hill Neighbors Association 133 South Fitzhugh Street Rochester, NY 14608

- Upper Monroe Neighborhood Association 243 Rosedale Street Rochester, NY 14620
- Browncroft Neighborhood Association PO Box 10127 Rochester, NY 14610
- North Winton Neighborhood Association 1933 East Main Street Rochester, NY 14609
- South Wedge Planning Committee
 224 Mt Hope Avenue
 Rochester, NY 14620
- Urban League of Rochester, NY, Inc.
 North Clinton Avenue
 Rochester, NY 14605
- 11. Baden Street Settlement 152 Baden Street Rochester, NY 14605
- 12. Group 14621 Community Association 1171 Clinton Avenue North Rochester, NY 14621
- Marketview Heights Association, Inc.
 308 North Street
 Rochester, NY 14605-2540
- 14. Ibero-American Action League 817 E Main Street Rochester, NY 14605

Environmental Assessment Form Part 1


Rochester Schools Modernization Program
Phase 2

Rochester Joint Schools Construction
Board

VERIFICATION

I certify that the information provided herein is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board		
Name:	Tom Richards		
Signature:	- JOSEPH -		
Title:	Chairman		
Date:	February 8, 2016		



Clark Patterson Lee DESIGN PROFESSIONALS 205 Saint Paul Street Rochester, NY 14604 800-274-9000

SCALE: NONE PROJ. #: 13738.00

Rochester Schools Modernization Program

City of Rochester, Monroe County, New York

Martin B. Anderson / School #1

85 Hillside Ave, Rochester, NY 14610

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):		
N	lm.	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone:	
	E-Mail:	
A 11		
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
City/10.	State.	Zip code.
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
CRy/1 o.	State.	Zip code.
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	
rioperty Owner (if not same as sponsor).		
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
·		1

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)				
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required (Actual or			
a. City Council, Town Board, ☐ Yes ☐ No or Village Board of Trustees				
b. City, Town or Village ☐ Yes ☐ No Planning Board or Commission				
c. City Council, Town or ☐ Yes ☐ No Village Zoning Board of Appeals				
d. Other local agencies □ Yes □ No				
e. County agencies □ Yes □ No				
f. Regional agencies □ Yes □ No				
g. State agencies □ Yes □ No				
h. Federal agencies □ Yes □ No				
i. Coastal Resources.i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Wat	terway?	□ Yes □ No	
 ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? □ Yes □ No iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area? □ Yes □ No 				
C. Planning and Zoning				
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.				
only approval(s) which must be granted to enab • If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.	mendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or ole the proposed action to proceed? nplete all remaining sections and questions in Pa		□ Yes □ No	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, vil where the proposed action would be located?	lage or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) i	nclude the site	□ Yes □ No	
	ecific recommendations for the site where the pro-	oposed action	□ Yes □ No	
	ocal or regional special planning district (for exa ated State or Federal heritage area; watershed ma		□ Yes □ No	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or part or an adopted municipal farmland protection If Yes, identify the plan(s):	ially within an area listed in an adopted municipan plan?	al open space plan,	□ Yes □ No	

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	□ Yes □ No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	□ Yes □ No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located?	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?	
d. What parks serve the project site?	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)?	l, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? acres c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned	
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % Units:	☐ Yes ☐ No housing units,
square feet)? % Units: d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?	□ Yes □ No
iii. Number of lots proposed?iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum	
e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?i. If No, anticipated period of construction: months	□ Yes □ No
ii. If Yes:Total number of phases anticipated	
Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year	
 Anticipated completion date of final phase Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progre 	es of one phase may
determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	t include new resid				□ Yes □ No
If Yes, show num	bers of units propo				
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion					
of all phases				- -	
D 4	1 1 1	• • • •	1	1	- 77 - 77
	osed action include	new non-residentia	al construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes,	of structures				
ii Dimensions (in feet) of largest p	ronosed structure:	height	width; andlength	
iii. Approximate	extent of building s	space to be heated	or cooled:	square feet	
				I result in the impoundment of any	□ Yes □ No
				result in the impoundment of any agoon or other storage?	⊔ res ⊔ No
If Yes,	s creation of a water	r suppry, reservoir,	, pond, take, waste ia	igoon of other storage:	
	e impoundment:				
ii. If a water imp	e impoundment: oundment, the princ	cipal source of the	water:	☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water stream	s □ Other specify:
	, 1	·			
iii. If other than w	vater, identify the ty	pe of impounded/	contained liquids and	d their source.	
iv. Approximate	size of the proposed	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons; surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions o	f the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	height; length	
				ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, conc	rete):
D.2. Project Op	erations				
			ning on Anadaina d	i	D Vas D Na
				uring construction, operations, or both? or foundations where all excavated	□ Yes □ No
materials will r		mon, grading or in	stanation of utilities	or foundations where all excavated	
If Yes:	chiam onsite)				
	rnose of the excava	ntion or dredging?			
				be removed from the site?	-
	nat duration of time				
				ged, and plans to use, manage or dispose	of them.
iv. Will there be	onsite dewatering of	or processing of ex	cavated materials?		□ Yes □ No
v What is the to	atal area to be dredg	ed or excavated?		_acres	
vi What is the m	nai arca to be tircug	worked at any one	time?	acres	
		•		teres	
	avation require blast		n dreaging.	icct	□ Yes □ No
				crease in size of, or encroachment	□ Yes □ No
•	ng wetland, waterb	ody, shoreline, bea	ch or adjacent area?		
If Yes:	.1 1	1.1 11.	CC 4 1 /1		
				vater index number, wetland map number	
description):					

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, place alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in	
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□ Yes □ No
iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes:	
 acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: 	
 expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion. purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): 	
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. seath elearing, invasive species control, sout access).	
proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
e. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	□ Yes □ No
f Yes:	□ 165 □ NO
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	□ Yes □ No
f Yes:	
Name of district or service area:	
• Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	□ Yes □ No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	□ Yes □ No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	□ Yes □ No
Do existing lines serve the project site? Will line actuation within an artistic district he accessor to conclust the arcise to	□ Yes □ No
ii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? Yes:	□ Yes □ No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
<i>iv</i> . Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? f, Yes:	□ Yes □ No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
1 ' ' 11'	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: gallons	/minute.
. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	□ Yes □ No
f Yes:	
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day	
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describ	
approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
ii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
 Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Name of district: 	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	□ Yes □ No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	□ Yes □ No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	□ Yes □ No

Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	□ Yes □ No
Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	\square Yes \square No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
<i>iv.</i> Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes:	_ 105 _ 110
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec	ifying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	□ Yes □ No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	roperties,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
- It to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies of wednings.	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□ Yes □ No
<i>iv.</i> Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	\square Yes \square No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	□ Yes □ No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□ Yes □ No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	□ Yes □ No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) ii In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
 ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) 	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Territorocarbons (TTCs) •Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (includ landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes:	ling, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants,	□ Yes □ No
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination mean electricity, flaring):	asures included in project design (e.g., combustion to ge	enerate heat or
Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutar quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., die)		□ Yes □ No
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in a new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): □ Randomly between hours of to	☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend 	□ Yes □ No
iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking v. If the proposed action includes any modification of exist	<u>5</u> ?	\square Yes \square No
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities a vii Will the proposed action include access to public transpo or other alternative fueled vehicles?viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or pedestrian or bicycle routes?	ortation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the 		□ Yes □ No
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project other):	t (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/lo	ocal utility, or
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to,	an existing substation?	□ Yes □ No
Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction:	 ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday: Saturday: Sunday: Holidays: 	

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	□ Yes □ No
operation, or both? If yes:	
i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	
<i>ii.</i> Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	□ Yes □ No
Describe:	
n Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? If yes:	□ Yes □ No
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	□ Yes □ No
Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest	
occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	□ Yes □ No
or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	1 103 L NO
If Yes:	
i. Product(s) to be storedii. Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
iii. Generally describe proposed storage facilities:	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides,	□ Yes □ No
insecticides) during construction or operation? If Yes:	
<i>i.</i> Describe proposed treatment(s):	
	-
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	□ Yes □ No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	□ Yes □ No
of solid waste (excluding nazardous materials)? If Yes:	
i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
• Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
 Operation: tons per (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: 	
Construction:	
Operation:	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
Construction:	
Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or mod If Yes:	ification of a solid waste m	anagement facility?	□ Yes □ No	
i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or				
other disposal activities): ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:				
Tons/month, if transfer or other non-	combustion/thermal treatm	ent. or		
Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal		 , 01		
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	years			
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercia waste?	al generation, treatment, sto	rage, or disposal of hazardous	□ Yes □ No	
If Yes:				
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	e generated, handled or mai	naged at facility:		
<i>ii.</i> Generally describe processes or activities involving	hazardous wastes or constit	uents:		
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated tiv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ons/month cycling or reuse of hazardou	us constituents:		
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing If Yes: provide name and location of facility:			□ Yes □ No	
if ites, provide fiame and location of facility.				
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous	wastes which will not be se	ent to a hazardous waste facility	7 :	
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action				
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site				
 a. Existing land uses. i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the □ Urban □ Industrial □ Commercial □ Resident 	e project site. dential (suburban) □ Ru	ral (non-farm)		
	er (specify):			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.				
Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change	
Covertype	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)	
 Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces 				
• Forested				
 Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) 				
Agricultural				
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)				
 Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) 				
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)				
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)				
Other		1		
• Oner				
Describe:				

day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities:	c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation?	
day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes. I. Identify Facilities:		□ Yes □ No
If Yes: i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: • Dam height: • Dam length: • Dam length: • Dam length: • Surface area: • Volume impounded: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Describes the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? iii. Describe any development constraints due to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: iii. Is such a portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Yes No	If Yes,	□ Yes □ No
If Yes: i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: • Dam height: • Dam length: • Dam length: • Dam length: • Surface area: • Volume impounded: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Describes the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? iii. Describe any development constraints due to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: iii. Is such a portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Yes No		
If Yes: i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: • Dam height: • Dam length: • Dam length: • Dam length: • Surface area: • Volume impounded: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Describes the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? iii. Describe any development constraints due to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: iii. Is such a portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Yes No	- Danatha maria et sita annetain an anistina dana?	D Vac D Na
Dam height:	e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	□ Tes □ No
Dam length: Surface area:	i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
Surface area:		
• Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet ii. Dam's existing hazard classification: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility? If Yes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? ii. Describe any development constraints due to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site □ Yes □ No Remediation database? Check all that apply: □ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): □ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): □ Yes – Spills Incidents database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? □ Yes □ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	~	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility? If Yes: i Has the facility been formally closed? If yes, cite sources/documentation: iii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: iii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? if Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Pyes No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):		
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: F. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? If Yes:		
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? If Yes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? • If yes, cite sources/documentation: ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site yes — Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database Provide DEC ID number(s): iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Pyes — No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):		
or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? If Yes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? • If yes, cite sources/documentation: ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	<u> </u>	
or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? If Yes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? • If yes, cite sources/documentation: ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site		
ii. Has the facility been formally closed? If yes, cite sources/documentation: ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: ii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: iii. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management faci	
iii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site		□ Yes □ No
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Provide DEC ID number(s): Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Pyes ¬ No Yes ¬ No Yes ¬ No Yes ¬ No	ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site		
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	ui. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes □ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?	
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes □ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site ☐ Yes ☐ No Remediation database? Check all that apply: ☐ Yes - Spills Incidents database ☐ Provide DEC ID number(s): ☐ Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database ☐ Provide DEC ID number(s): ☐ Neither database ☐ Neither database ☐ If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: ☐ If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): ☐ Yes ☐ No	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
□ Yes − Environmental Site Remediation database □ Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? □ Yes □ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	□ Yes □ No
□ Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? □ Yes □ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	□ Yes □ No
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): ☐	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred. h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: □ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes - Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred by the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes - Spills Incidents database	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
	g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr remedial contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		□ Yes □ No
If yes, DEC site ID number:		
Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any year limitations:		
Describe any use limitations:Describe any engineering controls:		
Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?		□ Yes □ No
Explain:		= 103 = 140
Explain.		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	feet	
	1001	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?	0/	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	%	
	%	
	%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:fe	eet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: "% of site		
□ Moderately Well Drained:% of site		
□ Poorly Drained% of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%:	% of site	
□ 10-15%:	% of site	
□ 15% or greater:	% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:		□ Yes □ No
h. Surface water features.		
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including str	reams, rivers,	□ Yes □ No
ponds or lakes)?		
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		\square Yes \square No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by	y any federal,	□ Yes □ No
state or local agency? iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the fol	lowing information:	
Streams: Name	•	
Lakes or Ponds: Name		
• Wetlands: Name	Approximate Size	
Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)		
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water q	uality-impaired	\square Yes \square No
waterbodies?		
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		□ Yes □ No
j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain?		□ Yes □ No
k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain?		□ Yes □ No
1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole sou If Yes:	rce aquifer?	□ Yes □ No
i. Name of aquifer:		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy	or use the project site:	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant r If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function)	·	□ Yes □ No
 ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation: iii. Extent of community/habitat: Currently: Following completion of project as proposed: Gain or loss (indicate + or -): o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal 	acres acres acres	
endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas		
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or a special concern?	nimal that is listed by NYS as rare, or a	as a species of □ Yes □ No
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed actio		
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project	t Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a des Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	1 303 and 304?	
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive <i>i</i> . If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? <i>ii</i> . Source(s) of soil rating(s):	soils present?	
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substitute. Natural Landmark? If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: □ Biological ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including val	Community □ Geological Fea	uture
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state list If Yes: i. CEA name: ii. Basis for designation: iii. Designating agency and date:		

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district	
which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: □ Archaeological Site □ Historic Building or District	
ii. Name:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	□ Yes □ No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:	□ Yes □ No
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
i. Identify resource:ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.):	r scenic byway,
iii. Distance between project and resource: miles.	
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 	□ Yes □ No
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	□ Yes □ No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those in measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	mpacts plus any
G. VerificationI certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name Date	



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	No
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	Yes
E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]	Principal Aquifer, Primary Aquifer
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No

E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	Yes
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area - Name]	Cobbs Hill
E.3.d.ii [Critical Environmental Area - Reason]	Environmentally sensitive
E.3.d.iii [Critical Environmental Area – Date and Agency]	Date:3-14-86, Agency:Rochester, City of
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	No
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□ NO □ YES		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	it □ NO		YES
ij les , unswer questions a - c. ij ivo , move on to section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
	<u> </u>		
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d		

wastewater treatment facilities.

l. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□ NO er.		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	□NO) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
		l	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	□ NO		YES
zy rea , emisire, questiona et j. zy rie , mere en le section / l	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	□NO	□ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
	•		
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources			
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	and b.)	□NO	☐ YES
1 0	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i> a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a <i>If "Yes"</i>, <i>answer questions a - h. If "No"</i>, <i>move on to Section 9</i>. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	□NO) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	□NO) 🛭	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
 The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. 	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	□No) [YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	□ N(0 🗆	YES
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j)	s. 🗆 No	0 🗖	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
	•	•	•
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	□Nº	O 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g		
e. Other Impacts:			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC) 🗆	YES
J ,	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d		

c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.

D2o

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	
f. Other impacts:		

16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. an <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	□ No	O 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g		
The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.	□NO		YES
If Tes , unswer questions a - n. If Two , go to section 10.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	□NO) DY	/ES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions				
SEQR Status:	☐ Type 1	□ Unlisted		
Identify portions of EAF	completed for this Project:	□ Part 1	□ Part 2	□ Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support	information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is	the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
☐ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, t statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	therefore, an environmental impact
☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the least	
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED	
☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environm statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to eximpacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.	
Name of Action:	
Name of Lead Agency:	
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	
Title of Responsible Officer:	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:
For Further Information:	
Contact Person:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
E-mail:	
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sen	nt to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally loother involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	ocated (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Rochester School Modernization Program - Phase 2

Martin Anderson / School #1 Full Environmental Assessment Form – Part 3, continuation

The Proposed Action, the borrowing of \$435 million for Phase 2 of the Facilities Modernization Program, includes work at up to 13 schools within the District. With work at some of the schools classified as Unlisted and others as Type 1 under the SEQRA definition for such actions (6 NYCRR Part 617.2), Full Environmental Assessment Forms were prepared for each school to assist in the assessment of the overall environmental impacts of all 13 schools included in Phase 2. Although none of the potential impacts identified in Part 2 of this Environmental Assessment for Martin Anderson (School #1) are considered significant or a "Large Impact," this Part 3 response was prepared to address the items that were determined to have a potential "Moderate" impact, in order to ensure a thorough examination of the proposed action. Those impacts that were considered to have "No Impact" will not be elaborated upon further in the expanded narrative for Part 3 below.

1e. Impact on Land (timeframe) – As the overall Phase 2 project is currently proposed, it involves the renovation and upgrade to up to 13 schools over a period of two (2) years. Due to the number of schools being worked on, the need for a multi-year effort is the only achieved means of modernizing the schools in timely manner without substantial disruption in student curricula. Smaller groups of schools will be sub-phased within the two-year timeframe. The work specifically proposed at this school will likely take a year to finish following bidding. As a result, the phased approach has been considered to have no adverse significant environmental impacts.

1h. Impact on Land (other) - The physical impacts associated with the proposed work at School #1 include the construction of a 13,000 SF addition, removal of transportable classrooms, and an additional 31 parking spaces adjacent to the current lot. The building addition will change the appearance of the school from public roadways. However, the addition will replace existing temporary trailers that have been used for classroom instruction in the past, providing permanent space for students without the need to leave the building during inclement weather and providing the same level of services as other classroom space in the school. The addition will also provide needed support service space that is currently deficient. Any façade treatments will be designed and constructed to match the existing façade and provide a positive contribution to the facilities appearance in the neighborhood. Additional parking space will be a benefit to the staff and visitors as a deficiency currently exists. As currently identified, these impacts were deemed insignificant.

3h. Impacts on Surface Water (other) - The proposed construction at the school will increase the amount of impervious surfaces on the campus. As a result, there may be slight increases in stormwater runoff. As currently identified, this impact is insignificant. Design and construction of the stormwater management system for the parking area / addition will be done in accordance with city of rochester guidelines to ensure impacts

remain insignificant. A stormwater pollution prevention plan is not required as the City utilizes a combined sewer system with water treatment prior to discharge.

10d. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources (other) – The school is not on the State or National Register nor is it located in or adjacent to a Historic District. However, as part of the SEQRA process, initial consultation with SHPO was undertaken and it was determined that the building is Eligible for inclusion in the National Register. A consolidated response from SHPO indicated additional information is being requested in order to make a full determination. As the project continues to move forward for this school specifically, additional design and construction details will be forwarded to SHPO to ensure that any impacts remain insignificant and any alterations are coordinated with the Preservation Office. In addition, should any archeological artifacts be uncovered during construction, SHPO will be notified immediately and appropriate protocols will be followed.

11d. Impact on Open Space and Recreation (open space resource) – The proposed addition for School #1 will be on the south side of the school on lands that are currently open lawn space. Although this land is not formally dedicated as recreational space, the proposed action will result in the conversion of this open space to building space. However, this addition will be within the confines of the school property and will not impact the adjacent City Park (Washington Grove) which does contain dedicated recreational space used by the community. As the space that the proposed addition is shown upon is only maintained lawn space, this action is considered to be have no significant adverse environmental impact.

12c. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas (other) – The school is located adjacent to Washington Grove, zoned as Open Space (O-S) by the City or Rochester and as such considered a Critical Environmental Area. The proposed addition and parking expansion is shown as being contained within the existing school property and therefore no impact to the adjacent CEA. Due to this, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

13e. Impact on Transportation (traffic patterns) – As currently proposed, a second smaller parking area is proposed for the school south of the existing parking lot. Although a new curb cut will be required for this smaller lot, is it proposed to only hold up to seven cars. Additionally, the existing roadway providing access is a shared service road for the school and the Monroe County Water Authority, which does not have significant traffic volumes. For these reasons, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

14e. Impact on Energy (other) – The proposed addition will result in additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC needs. Although there will be an increase in demand, the new structure will be designed and built in accordance with the most recent building and energy codes, resulting in a building that will likely be more efficient than the existing building. Interior renovations of the school include mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, asbestos abatement, and interior finish

upgrades. The proposed renovations will likely have a beneficial impact due to the improved energy efficiency of mechanical equipment. Additionally, the school will be utilizing the local utility grid for electricity and gas usage, which has sufficient capacity for this project. As a result, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

15a/c. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light (noise/odor) – Construction work associated with the proposed scope of work at the school will likely result in short-term noise and odor impacts. These impacts are insignificant as the work will take place during daytime hours and will only minimally impact adjacent properties. In addition, best practices for construction in accordance with NYS Education Department 8 NYCRR Part 155 will be followed as well as any applicable City protocols related to construction to ensure that impacts remain insignificant.


16m. Impact on Human Health (other) – As part of the proposed work at the school, asbestos abatement is anticipated in conjunction with interior renovations and rehabilitations as a result of the age of the structure. The amount of abatement will be determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the amount of material encountered. A plan will be prepared by the subsequent contractors for this school in accordance with applicable rules, regulations, and laws to ensure all material is safely contained and disposed of without harm to workers or the immediate community; therefore, no significant adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

18g. Consistency with Community Character (other) - The impact on community character is largely a positive one, in that the improvements to the school will improve the school's ability to serve as a community resource. Although the building additions will change the exterior appearance of the building and grounds, the changes can be viewed as an improvement as the addition will replace any temporary trailers that are currently located there and address identified space deficiencies. The addition will be more in character with the design of the current structure. Additionally, recreational facilities will be retained at the school, with an opportunity for improvements.

To help ensure that the proposed improvements to each school meets the needs of the students, staff, and the greater school community, RJSCB has set a Building Advisory Committee (BAC) for each school. The BAC's include representatives from RJSCB, School District, the City of Rochester, the Design Professionals, school parents and community and neighborhood groups. The BAC's provide a means for facilitating effective communication and serve as a liason among the various stakeholders, including school staff, parents, neighbors, community groups, the District, and the City of Rochester. They will provide a opportunity for continued coordination and input on matters during design and construction phases of the project, including the use of swing space for temporary relocation of students. Utilizing off-site swing space is the best way to protect the safety, health and welfare of students, faculty and staff of the school and minimize disruptions to the educational process and will be determined as the project continues to move forward and identified in subsequent sub phases.

Construction at the school will also generate additional employment in the neighborhood. Although temporary, the influx of workers has the potential to boost sales at area businesses, especially retail and services that depend on nearby employment centers, such as restaurants, delis, gas stations and convenience stores.

Program Biograph: Martin B. Anderson School 1



Background & Concept

The Martin B. Anderson School 1 is a one story school building located in the Southeast Quadrant of the City (RCSD South Elementary Choice Zone). The original school building was constructed in 1921. Currently, 76 % of the existing classrooms are below the SED minimum floor area criteria (see diagram). School 1 is occupied as a Pre-Kindergarten through 6th grade school and will remain this grade level structure for the proposed relocation of School 15. The proposed concept includes the addition of seven classroom spaces, seven support spaces and a receiving area for the expanded kitchen. The addition will add the necessary classroom space, replacing the transportables which will be removed. The addition will occupy a similar footprint as the transportables.

Infrastructure Issues

A majority of the School 1 building has wood floor and roof framing. Significant portions of the wood framing are deteriorated and in need of major rehabilitation work. The complete removal and replacement of the wood floor and roof structure with non-combustible structural system is desired. Facility infrastructure work includes the replacement of windows and exterior doors, masonry and parapet rehabilitation, alterations to suit program, the replacement of interior finishes and doors, toilet room renovations, and the replacement of most of the existing Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing systems.

Strategic Challenges

Site topography precludes the construction of a two station gymnasium addition. The concept results in an undersized, single gym station multi-purpose room. Site activities also preclude the construction of a separate on-site bus loop. Expansion of on-site parking is required to accommodate the parking demand and bus traffic. Physical constraints results in 66% of classrooms below the SED Standard room size.

Note: A series of representative photos of existing conditions follow.



Proposed Program Summary


Location / Address: 85 Hillside Avenue 14610

Original Date: Addition Dates: 1972

Existing Building Gross Area: 44,815 gross square feet (gsf) Existing Modular Building Area: 3,520 gsf (to be demolished)

Proposed Addition Area: 13,000 gsf Total Proposed Gross Area: 57,815 gsf Previous Grade Structure: Pre K – 6th Planned Grade Structure: Pre K – 6th Current 2015-2016 Enrollment: 356 PK/6 Students Planned Enrollment: 398 PK/6 Students

Existing Context





Portables

Core Model "Test Fit" Summary:

	Pre K	Kindergarten	Grades 1 - 3	Grades 4 - 6	Grades 7 - 8	Self-Contained	Enrollment Flex
	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Special Ed CR's	Classrooms
Interchangeable Classrooms	2	2	6	6	0	2	1


Specialized Functions:

Elementary Science Classroom	0
7th / 8th Grade Science Classroom	0
Special Education Resource Room	1
Music Classroom - General	1
Music Classroom - Instrumental	1
Vocal / Band Ensemble Classroom	0
Art Classroom	1
Computer Classroom	1
Family & Consumer Science	0
Technology Lab / Shop	0
Other Thematic Classroom	0
In School Suspension (ISS) / ATS	1

Gym	1
Multipurpose Gym /Auditorium	1
Library	1
CSE Office / Conference Room	0
ELA Specialist Room	1
Math Specialist Room	1
Reading Teacher Room	1
Primary Project Room	1
Social Worker Office	1
Psychologist Office	1
OT / PT Room	1
Speech Room	1

ESOL Room	1
Parent Liaison Room	1
Main Office Suite	1
Secure Main Entrance	Yes
Accessible Main Entrance	Yes
School Safety Officer Office	1
Cafeteria	1
Multipurpose Cafeteria / Auditorium	0
Kitchen / Servery	1
Teacher Workroom	1
Parent / PTSA Room	1
Agency Partner Room	0

Note: Shadowed classrooms indicate below SED minimum



Existing First Floor




Existing Ground Floor










PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR Work Scope



PROPOSED FIRST FLOOR Work Scope

Proposed Scope of			
Work Summary			
Level	Gross Sq.		
of Work	Footage		
Light	795 sf		
Rehabilitation			
Moderate	5,208 sf		
Reconstruction	0,200 0.		
Heavy	1,229 sf		
Reconstruction	,		
Structural	26,274 sf		
Reconstruction	20,274 31		
None	11,309 sf		
Subtotal	44,815 sf		
Addition	12,127 sf		

Grand Total for School	56,942 sf
------------------------	-----------





PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Pre K-6 with 2-Strand Program Model



Clara Barton / School #2

190 Reynolds St, Rochester, NY 14608

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:

Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2			
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):			
School No. 2 / Clara Barton, 190 Reynolds St, Rochester, NY 14608			
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
The Proposed Action is the procurement of funding for Phase 2 of the Rochester City School Dinvolves additions and renovations at 13 school sites. An Environmental Assessment Form has significance for the Proposed Action will be based upon the Lead Agency's review of individual ampacts of the collective Phase 2 program. This EAF is specific to the work at School No. 2 (SE 13,825 SF (6,568 SF footprint) - two one-story additions on the north side (stage and receiving), overbuild on the southwest corner (classrooms). Four transportable classrooms will be removed econfigured/expanded to the north with additional buddy spaces (increase by 4). This will involve expansion. Other site work consists of reconstruction of existing sidewalks, pavement, lawn, fendowrk will generally include mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, building repairs/replacement will include, but not be limited to brick/masonry repointing, replaced	been prepared for each school. The oschool's environmental impacts as we D 26-16-00-01-0-002). Four addition a one-story on the south side (classi (2,016 SF). The existing parking lot we converting the adjacent lawn spacing, and other miscellaneous site elasbestos abatement and interior finis	determination of bell as the cumulative as are proposed totaling rooms), and a second-story is also proposed to be to parking for the ements. Interior building sh upgrades. Exterior	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: 585-512-3806		
Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board	E-Mail:		
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue			
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14621	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone: 585-512-3806		
Γhomas M. Renauto, Executive Director	E-Mail: trenauto@aol.com		
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue			
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	
Rochester	NY	14621	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone: 585-262-8100		
Rochester City School District	E-Mail:		
Address: 131 West Broad Street			
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14614	

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, assistance.)	, Funding, or Spo	nsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, t	ax relief, and any othe	r forms of financial
Government E	Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or	
a. City Council, Town Board or Village Board of Trusto		City Hall/Council - Approval	TBD	
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Comm	□Yes ☑ No ission			
c. City Council, Town or Village Zoning Board of A	□Yes ☑ No Appeals			
d. Other local agencies	✓ Yes□No	RJSCB - Final Approval, RCSD - Approval	April 4, 2016 (tent.)	
e. County agencies	Z Yes□No	COMIDA	TBD	
f. Regional agencies	Z Yes□No	RG&E - Energy Rebates	TBD	
g. State agencies	Z Yes□No	NYSED - Smart Schools Bond Act & Permit, DASNY, NYSERDA - Energy Rebates	TBD	
h. Federal agencies	□Yes ☑ No			
	ted in a community	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W with an approved Local Waterfront Revitaliza n Hazard Area?	•	□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
C. Planning and Zoning				
C.1. Planning and zoning a				
only approval(s) which mus • If Yes, complete see	t be granted to enactions C, F and G.	mendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule ble the proposed action to proceed? mplete all remaining sections and questions in l	-	∠ Yes□No
C.2. Adopted land use plan	ıs.			
where the proposed action	would be located?	llage or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) cecific recommendations for the site where the p		✓Yes□No □Yes□No
	Area (BOA); design	local or regional special planning district (for enated State or Federal heritage area; watershed		∠ Yes□No
c. Is the proposed action loc or an adopted municipal f If Yes, identify the plan(s):		tially within an area listed in an adopted munic n plan?	ipal open space plan,	□Yes□No

C.3. Zoning	
 a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? R-1 	Z Yes□No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	□Yes□No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	□Yes□No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Rochester City School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? City of Rochester PD	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? City of Rochester FD	
d. What parks serve the project site? Frost Avenue/ Wilson Park	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, components)? Civic/educational	include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 5.11 acres 5.11 acres 5.11 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % 13,825 SF Units:	✓ Yes□No housing units,
 d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) 	□Yes □No
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed?	□Yes □No
e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: • Total number of phases anticipated • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year • Anticipated completion date of final phase • Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progres determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	ct include new resid				□Yes□No
If Yes, show num	nbers of units propo		601 TO 11	Maria Paris (C	
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion					
of all phases					
g. Does the propo	osed action include	new non-residentia	l construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	Z Yes□No
If Yes,			`	<i>5</i> 1 ,	
	of structures				
				42 width; and 65 length	
11		•		13,825 square feet	
				l result in the impoundment of any	□Yes □No
	s creation of a wate	r supply, reservoir,	pond, lake, waste la	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	impoundment				
i. Fulpose of the	e impoundment: oundment, the prin	cinal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water strea	ms DOther specify:
ii. If a water imp	oundment, the prin	cipul source of the	water.	Ground water Burrace water stream	msother speetry.
iii. If other than v	vater, identify the ty	ype of impounded/o	contained liquids and	d their source.	
iv Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment	Volume	million gallons; surface area: _	acres
v. Dimensions o	of the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	height; length	ucres
				ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con	crete):
D.2. Project Op					
				uring construction, operations, or both?	Yes No
		ation, grading or in	stallation of utilities	or foundations where all excavated	
materials will r If Yes:	emain onsite)				
	irnose of the excava	ation or dredging?			
<i>ii.</i> How much ma	terial (including ro	ck, earth, sediments	s. etc.) is proposed t	o be removed from the site?	
	nat duration of time				
				ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them.
iv Will there be	onsite dewatering	or processing of av	cavated materials?		Yes No
	be				
v. What is the to	otal area to be dredg	ged or excavated?		acres	
vi. What is the m	naximum area to be	worked at any one	time?	acres	
			or dredging?	feet	
	avation require blas				☐Yes ☐No
ix. Summarize sit	te reclamation goals	and plan:			
					·
1 337 11.1	1	1. 1	C : 1		
			on of, increase or de ch or adjacent area?	crease in size of, or encroachment	☐Yes ☐No
If Yes:	ing wenanu, watero	ouy, shorenne, bea	en or aujacent area?		
	vetland or waterbod	y which would be	affected (by name. v	vater index number, wetland map numb	er or geographic

<i>ii.</i> Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:		
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□Yes□No	
iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:		
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:		
• purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):		
proposed method of plant removal:		
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):		
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:		
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? If Yes:	✓ Yes No	
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: No significant change gallons/dayii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?If Yes:	Z Yes □ No	
Name of district or service area: <u>City of Rochester Water Bureau</u>		
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	✓ Yes No	
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No	
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
 Do existing lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes □ No	
<i>iii.</i> Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	□Yes □No	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:		
Source(s) of supply for the district:		
<i>iv</i> . Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If, Yes:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:		
Date application submitted or anticipated:		
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:		
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:		
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: gallons/min	nute.	
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? If Yes:	✓ Yes □No	
 i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: no significant change gallons/day ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all approximate volumes or proportions of each): 		
Sanitary wastewater		
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	Z Yes □No	
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Frank E. VanLare Wastewater Treatment Facility		
Name of district: Monroe County Pure Waters		
• Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	✓ Yes No	
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes □No	
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	

 Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes □ No
 Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? 	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Describe extensions of capacity expansions proposed to serve this project.	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec	ifving proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	nying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, of describe subsurface disposal plans).	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	□Yes □No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
u. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p	roperties
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	roperties,
groundwater, on-site surface water of off-site surface waters):	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
- It to surface waters, rachary receiving water bodies of wettands.	
W/11	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□Yes□No
iv. Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	□Yes□No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	✓ Yes N o
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
Temporary power generation for construction equipment via generators or air compressors as needed.	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, rarge boners, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes□No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
	□Yes□No
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes:				
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination medelectricity, flaring):		enerate heat or		
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutary quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., displayed).	•	□Yes□No		
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply) \(\subseteq Randomly between hours of to	:	∏Yes∏No		
iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? Yes No v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:				
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities avii Will the proposed action include access to public transpor or other alternative fueled vehicles?viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or pedestrian or bicycle routes?	ortation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No		
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the project of the p	he proposed action:	Yes No		
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project other):iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to		Yes No		
Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction:	 ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday:			

If y	Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? ves: Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: Construction equipment, M-F during normal working hours. Post-construction noise will be typical of urban setting.	☑ Yes □No
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe:	□Yes□No
If	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? yes: Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	□Yes □No
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe:	□Yes□No
0.]	Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	✓ Yes □No
	ng construction, typical odors associated with construction vehicles and operations may be present. Best management practices mize any impact.	will be followed to
If N i. ii.	Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? Yes: Product(s) to be stored	☐ Yes ☑ No
If Y	Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? Yes: Describe proposed treatment(s):	☐ Yes ☐No
	. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☐No
If N	Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? Yes: Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: Construction:	
	Operation:	
iii.	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction:	
	Operation:	


s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?				
If Yes: i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or				
other disposal activities):				
<i>ii.</i> Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:				
 Tons/month, if transfer or other non-c Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal t 		, or		
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:				
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial		e. or disposal of hazardous	☐Yes ☐No	
waste?	8,	,-, <u></u>		
If Yes:				
<i>i.</i> Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	generated, handled or manag	ed at facility:		
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving h	azardous wastes or constituer	nts:		
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generatedto	ons/month			
iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ycling or reuse of hazardous of	constituents:		
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing	offsite hazardous waste facil	ity?	□Yes□No	
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:				
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous v	vactes which will not be sent	to a hazardous wasta facility		
11 1vo. describe proposed management of any nazardous	wastes which will not be sent	to a nazardous waste racinty	y• 	
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action				
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site				
a. Existing land uses.				
i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the ☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Resid		(non farm)		
	(specify): Public Education	(IIOII-Tariii)		
<i>ii.</i> If mix of uses, generally describe:	(1)			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.		1	C1	
Land use or Covertype	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)	
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious	rioreage	Troject completion	,	
surfaces	3.0	3.1	0	
Forested	0	0	0	
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	0	0	0	
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) • Agricultural				
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	0	0	0	
Surface water features				
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0	0	0	
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0	0	0	
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0	0	0	
• Other				
Describe: Maintained lawn	2.1	2.0		
		1		

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain: Access to the school grounds are available to the public after school hours.	✓ Yes No
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities:	∏Yes∏No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:Dam height: feet	
Dam height: feetDam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
• Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facil If Yes:	☐Yes☐No ity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	·
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□Yes□No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred	ed:
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any	☐Yes☐ No
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	10 <u>10</u>
If Yes:	□Yes□No
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	L i es_ino
☐ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
u. If she has been subject of NCKA corrective activities, describe control nicasures.	
Is the anniest within 2000 feet of one site in the NVCDEC Empire and Site Demodistics detailed	☐ Yes Z No
<i>iii.</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	LI I ESMLINO
<i>iv.</i> If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		□Yes□No
If yes, DEC site ID number:		
 Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any use limitations: 		
 Describe any use limitations: Describe any engineering controls: 		
Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?		☐ Yes ☐ No
• Explain:		
<u>-</u>		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	2 <u>0</u> feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: Urban Land	100 %	
	%	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:0-6 f	eet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained:		
Moderately Well Drained:% of site		
Poorly Drained% of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: ☐ 0-10%: ☐ 10-15%: ☐ 15% or greater:	100_% of site	
10-15%:	% of site	
☐ 15% or greater:	% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:		☐ Yes ✓ No
h. Surface water features.		
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including st	reams, rivers,	□Yes ✓No
ponds or lakes)?		
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		□Yes ✓No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		
<i>iii.</i> Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by state or local agency?	y any federal,	□Yes ☑ No
iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the fo	llowing information:	
• Streams: Name	Classification	
• Lakes or Ponds: Name	Classification	
• Wetlands: Name	Approximate Size	
• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)	walitz immainad	
waterbodies?	uanty-impaired	☐ Yes Z No
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		□Yes ☑ No
j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain?		□Yes ☑ No
k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain?		□Yes ☑ No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole sou If Yes:	rce aquifer?	□Yes Z No
i. Name of aquifer:		
Williams of adjuncti		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species		t site:	
gray squirrel cottontail rabbit	Canada geese various small mammals		
songbirds	whitetail deer	 -	
n. Does the project site contain a designated		7?	☐ Yes Z No
If Yes:	8		
i. Describe the habitat/community (compos	sition, function, and basis for	designation):	
·			
<i>ii.</i> Source(s) of description or evaluation: _			
iii. Extent of community/habitat:			
• Currently:			
• Following completion of project as	proposed:	acres	
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -):		acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of pl	ant or animal that is listed by	the federal government or NYS as	☐ Yes ✓ No
endangered or threatened, or does it contain			
changered of infeatoned, of does it contain	if any areas racinifica as habit	at for all changered of theatened sp	celes.
Assemble to the NNO DEC (Network Head)	F/T/D and alice activities and	discount to the country of other	
According to the NYS DEC / Natural Heritage Progra	am, no E/I/R species exist in or a	djacent to the project site.	
p. Does the project site contain any species of	of plant or animal that is listed	hy NVC as rare, or as a species of	☐Yes Z No
special concern?	or prant or animar that is listed	i by N 13 as rare, or as a species of	I ES VINO
special concern:			
According to the NYS DEC / Natural Heritage Progra	am, no E/T/R species exist in or a	djacent to the project site.	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area current			□Yes □No
If yes, give a brief description of how the pro	posed action may affect that	use:	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or N	Near Project Site		
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, loca	ted in a designated agricultur	al district certified pursuant to	☐Yes ✓ No
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-	AA, Section 303 and 304?	•	
If Yes, provide county plus district name/nu	mber:		
1 4 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 (1 (0		
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly	-		□Yes Z No
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):			
c. Does the project site contain all or part of	or is it substantially contigue	ous to, a registered National	□Yes ✓ No
Natural Landmark?			
If Yes:		<u></u>	
		☐ Geological Feature	
<i>ii.</i> Provide brief description of landmark, in	cluding values behind design	ation and approximate size/extent:	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjo	in a state listed Critical Envir	onmental Area?	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	in a state fished Critical Ellvii	ominional Libra.	
i. CEA name:			
ii. Basis for designation:			
iii. Designating agency and date:			

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places? If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District ii. Name: iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	☐ Yes No
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	Z Yes □No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:	□Yes□No
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: i. Identify resource: Genesee Valley Greenway and multiple parks as well	∠ Yes N o
 ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.): Multiple state and local parks iii. Distance between project and resource:	scenic byway,
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 	☐ Yes Z No
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	∐Yes∏No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those immeasures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	npacts plus any
G. VerificationI certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name SEE VERIFICATION PAGE Date	
Signature Title	



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	No
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No

E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - Name]	O'Kane Market and O'Kane Building, House at 235237 Reynolds Street
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.

• Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.			
1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts: Proposed action involves the construction of 13,825 SF of additions and expansion to existing parking lot.			\square

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibaccess to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	oit Z NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	Е3с		
c. Other impacts:			
	1		<u> </u>
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□nc) 🗸	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	\square	
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	Ø	
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		Z
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	Ø	
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d	abla	

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. (Other impacts:			
4.	Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	√ NO		YES
		Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
	Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d.	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h.	Other impacts:			
5.	Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	✓ NO		YES
		Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. '	The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c.	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. ′	The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
	f there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e		

g. Other impacts:			
		I	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO_2) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N_2O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	ЕЗс		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
	l		l
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>	nd b.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

O Louis de la Acadhada Danasana			
9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)	✓ NO) []YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.			
If Tes, unswer questions a - g. If No , go to section To.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	_ _	
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) <u>/</u>	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	Ø	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		Ø
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:			
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f	\square	
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	Ø	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	Ø	
F.,			
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	✓ No	o [YES
If Tes, unswer questions a - e. If No, go to section 12.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ No	O [YES
2) Tes , unswer questions a c. 2) Tro , go to section 12.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Vas" answer questions a sea If "No" as to Section 14	s. V	О 🗌	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.		O 🔽	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	V	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	Ø	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	Z	
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	Ø	
e. Other Impacts: Construction of a 13,825 SF addition will have additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC.			Ø
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC) <u> </u>	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o		

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	V	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	\square	
f. Other impacts:			
	I		
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. at <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	Ø	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	Ø	
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh	Ø	
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh	\square	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	Ø	
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	Ø	
1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	Ø	
m. Other impacts: Asbestos abatement associated with interior renovations and rehabilitations.			Ø
	1		

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO	Y	'ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	□NO	√ 7	ÆS.
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	V	
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4		
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a		
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	Ø	
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	☑	
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	Ø	
			Z

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

 Attach additional sheets, as needed. 				
SEE ATTACHED				
Determination	of Significance -	Type 1 and U	nlisted Actions	
SEQR Status:	Unlisted			
Identify portions of EAF completed for this Projection	ect: 🔽 Part 1	✓ Part 2	✓ Part 3	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information				
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is	the conclusion of the as lead agency that:			
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, t statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	therefore, an environmental impact			
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the following conditions which will be				
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED				
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environm statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to eximpacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.				
Name of Action: Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2				
Name of Lead Agency: Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board				
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Tom Richards				
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman				
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:			
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:			
For Further Information:				
Contact Person: Thomas M. Renauto, Executive Director				
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue				
Telephone Number: 585-512-3806				
E-mail: trenauto@aol.com				
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sen	at to:			
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally loother involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	ocated (e.g., Town / City / Village of)			

Rochester School Modernization Program - Phase 2

Clara Barton / School #2

Full Environmental Assessment Form – Part 3, continuation

The Proposed Action, the borrowing of \$435 million for Phase 2 of the Facilities Modernization Program, includes work at up to 13 schools within the District. With work at some of the schools classified as Unlisted and others as Type 1 under the SEQRA definition for such actions (6 NYCRR Part 617.2), Full Environmental Assessment Forms were prepared for each school to assist in the assessment of the overall environmental impacts of all 13 schools included in Phase 2. Although none of the potential impacts identified in Part 2 of this Environmental Assessment for Clara Barton (School #2) are considered significant or a "Large Impact," this Part 3 response was prepared to address the items that were determined to have a potential "Moderate" impact, in order to ensure a thorough examination of the proposed action. Those impacts that were considered to have "No Impact" will not be elaborated upon further in the expanded narrative for Part 3 below.

1e. Impact on Land (timeframe) – As the overall Phase 2 project is currently proposed, it involves the renovation and upgrade to up to 13 schools over a period of two (2) years. Due to the number of schools being worked on, the need for a multi-year effort is the only achieved means of modernizing the schools in timely manner without substantial disruption in student curricula. Smaller groups of schools will be sub-phased within the two-year timeframe. The work specifically proposed at this school will likely take a year to finish following bidding. As a result, the phased approach has been considered to have no adverse significant environmental impacts.

1h. Impact on Land (other) - The physical impacts associated with the proposed work at School #2 include the construction of a 13,825 SF addition, removal of transportable classrooms, and an additional 4 parking spaces adjacent to the current lot. The building addition will change the appearance of the school from public roadways. However, the addition will replace existing temporary trailers that have been used for classroom instruction in the past, providing permanent space for students without the need to leave the building during inclement weather and providing the same level of services as other classroom space in the school. The addition will also provide needed support service space that is currently deficient. Any façade treatments will be designed and constructed to match the existing façade and provide a positive contribution to the facilities appearance in the neighborhood. Additional parking space will be a benefit to the staff and visitors as a deficiency currently exists. As currently identified, these impacts were deemed insignificant.

3h. Impacts on Surface Water (erosion) - The proposed construction at the school will increase the amount of impervious surfaces on the campus. As a result, there may be slight increases in stormwater runoff. As currently identified, this impact is insignificant. Design and construction of the stormwater management system for the parking area / addition will be done in accordance with City of Rochester guidelines to ensure impacts

remain insignificant. A stormwater pollution prevention plan is not required as the City utilizes a combined sewer system with water treatment prior to discharge.

10b. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources (other) – The school is not on the State or National Register nor is it located in or adjacent to a Historic District. However, as part of the SEQRA process, initial consultation with SHPO was undertaken to determine level of impact and additional follow up information that will be needed. Correspondence from SHPO indicated that there would be "No Impact" on historic or archeological resources.

14e. Impact on Energy (other) – The proposed addition will result in additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC needs. Although there will be an increase in demand, the new structure will be designed and built in accordance with the most recent building and energy codes, resulting in a building that will likely be more efficient than the existing building. Interior renovations of the school include mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, asbestos abatement, and interior finish upgrades. The proposed renovations will likely have a beneficial impact due to the improved energy efficiency of mechanical equipment. Additionally, the school will be utilizing the local utility grid for electricity and gas usage, which has sufficient capacity for this project. As a result, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

15a/c. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light (noise/odor) – Construction work associated with the proposed scope of work at the school will likely result in short-term noise and odor impacts. These impacts are insignificant as the work will take place during daytime hours and will only minimally impact adjacent properties. In addition, best practices for construction in accordance with NYS Education Department 8 NYCRR Part 155 will be followed as well as any applicable City protocols related to construction to ensure that impacts remain insignificant.

16m. Impact on Human Health (other) – As part of the proposed work at the school, asbestos abatement is anticipated in conjunction with interior renovations and rehabilitations as a result of the age of the structure. The amount of abatement will be determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the amount of material encountered. A plan will be prepared by the subsequent contractors for this school in accordance with applicable rules, regulations, and laws to ensure all material is safely contained and disposed of without harm to workers or the immediate community; therefore, no significant adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.


18f. Consistency with Community Character (character) - The impact on community character is largely a positive one, in that the improvements to the school will improve the school's ability to serve as a community resource. Although the building additions will change the exterior appearance of the building and grounds, the changes can be viewed as an improvement as the addition will replace any temporary trailers that are currently located there and address identified space deficiencies. The addition will be

more in character with the design of the current structure. Additionally, recreational facilities will be retained at the school, with an opportunity for improvements.

To help ensure that the proposed improvements to each school meets the needs of the students, staff, and the greater school community, RJSCB has set a Building Advisory Committee (BAC) for each school. The BAC's include representatives from RJSCB, School District, the City of Rochester, the Design Professionals, school parents and community and neighborhood groups. The BAC's provide a means for facilitating effective communication and serve as a liason among the various stakeholders, including school staff, parents, neighbors, community groups, the District, and the City of Rochester. They will provide a opportunity for continued coordination and input on matters during design and construction phases of the project, including the use of swing space for temporary relocation of students. Utilizing off-site swing space is the best way to protect the safety, health and welfare of students, faculty and staff of the school and minimize disruptions to the educational process and will be determined as the project continues to move forward and identified in subsequent sub phases.

Construction at the school will also generate additional employment in the neighborhood. Although temporary, the influx of workers has the potential to boost sales at area businesses, especially retail and services that depend on nearby employment centers, such as restaurants, delis, gas stations and convenience stores.

Program Biograph: Clara Barton School 2



Background & Concept

The Clara Barton School 2 is a two story school building located in the Southwest Quadrant of the City (RCSD South Elementary Choice Zone). The original school building constructed in 1959 with a two-story classroom addition added in 1961 and an elevator addition in 1994. Currently, 14% of the existing classrooms are below the SED minimum floor area criteria (see diagram). School 2 is occupied as a Kindergarten through 6th grade school with Hillside Agency Program occupying 3 classroom size spaces. The existing support services spaces are substandard for the 3 strand program. The current multipurpose Gymnasium/Auditorium is without a stage.

The proposed concept includes the addition of a two-story classroom addition on the south end of the building where the existing transportable resides. A small stage and receiving area addition is also proposed for the school.

Infrastructure Issues

A majority of the School 2 building is in good condition. Facility infrastructure work includes the replacement of the roof and window panel system and casework, asbestos abatement, alterations to suit program, the replacement of interior finishes and doors, toilet room renovations, and upgrading of some of the existing mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems.

Strategic Challenges

Site constraints preclude the construction of an expanded parking area. Future consideration should be given to land acquisition to the east of the school for needed additional parking and potential on-site bus drop-off area.

Note: A series of representative photos of existing conditions follow.



Proposed Program Summary

Location / Address: 190 Reynolds Street 14608 Original Date: 1959 1961 / 1994 Addition Dates: Existing Building Gross Area: 78,193 gross square feet Existing Modular Building Area: 3,520 (gsf to be demolished) Proposed Addition Area: 11,676 gsf Total Proposed Gross Area: 89,869 gsf Current 2015-2016 Enrollment: 434 PK/6 Students 622 PK/6 Students Planned Enrollment:


Existing Context

Site Highlights: The existing site is small and would benefit greatly from strategic land acquisitions to the east of the school. The existing modular classroom will be removed and that site area used to construct a two-story classroom addition to support the 3 strand program.

Note: Shadowed classrooms indicate below SED minimum area criteria



Existing Second Floor



Existing First Floor

Core Model "Test Fit" Summary


	Pre K	Kindergarten	Grades 1 - 3	Grades 4 - 6	Grades 7 - 8	Self-Contained	Enrollment Flex
	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Special Ed CR's	Classrooms
Interchangeable Classrooms	3	3	9	9	0	3	1

Specialized Functions:

Elementary Science Classroom	0
7th / 8th Grade Science Classroom	0
Special Education Resource Room	2
Music Classroom - General/Vocal	1
Music Classroom - Instrumental	1
Vocal / Band Ensemble Classroom	1
Art Classroom	1
Computer Classroom	2
Family & Consumer Science	0
Technology Lab / Shop	0
Other Thematic Classroom	1
In School Suspension (ISS) / ATS	1


Gym (dedicated)	0
Multipurpose Gym /Auditorium	1
Library	1
CSE Office / Conference Room	1
ELA Specialist Room	1
Math Specialist Room	1
Reading Teacher Room	1
Primary Project Room	1
Social Worker Office	1
Psychologist Office	1
OT / PT Room	1
Speech Room	2

ESOL Room	2
Parent Liaison Room	0
Main Office Suite	1
Secure Main Entrance (Lock Box)	Yes
Accessible Main Entrance	Yes
School Safety Officer Office	1
Cafeteria	1
Multipurpose Cafeteria / Auditorium	0
Kitchen / Servery	1
Teacher Workroom	1
Parent / PTSA Room	1
Agency Partner Room	1



Proposed New Construction







Proposed Scope of Work Summary			
Level of Work	Gross Sq. Footage		
Light Rehabilitation	14,330 sf		
Moderate Reconstruction	47,513 sf		
Heavy Reconstruction	7,407 sf		
Structural Reconstruction	208 sf		
None	8,735 sf		
Subtotal	78,193 sf		
Addition	11,676 sf		

Grand Total 89,869 sf for School

Legend: Light Rehabilitation

Moderate Reconstruction

Heavy Reconstruction

Structural Reconstruction

Addition




FROST AVENUE

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Pre K-6 with 3-Strand Program Model






- Proposed New Construction



Proposed Scope of Work Summary			
Level of Work	Gross Sq. Footage		
Light Rehabilitation	14,379 sf		
Moderate Reconstruction	46,592 sf		
Heavy Reconstruction	8,181 sf		
Structural Reconstruction	596 sf		
None	8,586 sf		
Subtotal	78,193 sf		
Addition	13,755 sf		

Grand Total	91,948 sf
for School	0 1,0 10 01






Moderate Reconstruction

Heavy Reconstruction

Structural Reconstruction

Addition



PROPOSED SITE STRATEGY

Pre K-6 with 3-Strand Program Model



George Mather Forbes / School #4

198 Dr. Samuel McCree Way, Rochester, NY 14611

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:

Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2			
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):			
School No 4 / George Mather Forbes School, 198 Dr Samuel McCree Way, Rochester	NY 14611		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
The Proposed Action is the procurement of funding for Phase 2 of the Rochester City S nvolves additions and renovations at various schools within the District. An Environme determination of significance for the Proposed Action will be based upon the Lead Age the cumulative impacts of the collective and approved Phase 2 program. This EAF is saddition is proposed totaling 9,435 SF (4,717 SF footprint) - two-story on the north side demolished. The existing parking lot will be reconfigured from 72 spaces to 86 (increas consists of reconstruction of existing sidewalks, pavement, lawn, fencing, and other minclude mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, asbestos repairs/replacement will include, but not be limited to brick/masonry repointing, replace	ntal Assessment Form has beer ncy's review of individual school pecific to the work at School No (classrooms) with 7,726 SF of the 14); no additional curb cuts/ascellaneous site elements. Interabatement and interior finish up	n prepared for each school. The senvironmental impacts as well as 4 (SED 26-16-00-01-0-004). One the existing building to be coess is proposed. Other site work ior building work will generally grades. Exterior building	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: 585-512-3	806	
Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board	E-Mail:		
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue			
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14621	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone: 585-512-3	806	
Thomas M. Renauto, Executive Director	E-Mail: trenauto@aol.		
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue	,		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	
Rochester	NY	14621	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone: 585-262-8	Telephone: 585-262-8100	
Rochester City School District	E-Mail:		
Address:	·		
131 West Broad Street			
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: ₁₄₆₁₄	
	-		

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)				
Government Entity		If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)	
a. City Council, Town Board, ✓ Yes or Village Board of Trustees	□No	City Hall/Council - Approval	TBD	
b. City, Town or Village	✓No			
c. City Council, Town or Yes Village Zoning Board of Appeals	✓No			
d. Other local agencies	□No	RJSCB - Final Approval, RCSD - Approval	April 4, 2016 (tent.)	
e. County agencies Yes[□No	COMIDA	TBD	
f. Regional agencies Yes[□No	RG&E - Energy Rebates	TBD	
g. State agencies Yes[□No	NYSED - Smart Schools Bond Act & Permit, DASNY, NYSERDA - Energy Rebates	TBD	
h. Federal agencies	✓No			
ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? ✓			□Yes ☑No ☑Yes□No □Yes□No	
C. Planning and Zoning				
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.				
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? ■ If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. ■ If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1				
C.2. Adopted land use plans.				
where the proposed action would be l	located?	age or county) comprehensive land use plan(s		□Yes□No □Yes□No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s): NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor				
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? If Yes, identify the plan(s):				□Yes Z No

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? R-1 District	✓ Yes □No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	✓ Yes □ No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	□Yes□No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Rochester City School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? City of Rochester PD	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? City of Rochester FD	
d. What parks serve the project site? Frost Avenue/Wilson Park, Jefferson Terrace Park	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)? Civic/educational	, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 2.7 acres 2.8 acres 2.7 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % 1,709 Units: SF	✓ Yes No housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	□Yes □No
 ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? iii. Number of lots proposed? iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum 	□Yes □No
e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: • Total number of phases anticipated • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) • Anticipated completion date of final phase • Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	et include new resid				□Yes□No
If Yes, show num	nbers of units propo		601 TO 11	Maria E. H. (C.	
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion					
of all phases					
g. Does the propo	osed action include	new non-residentia	l construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	Z Yes□No
If Yes,			`	6 1	
	of structures				
				40 width; and132 length	
iii. Approximate	extent of building	space to be heated	or cooled:	9,435 SF square feet	
				l result in the impoundment of any	□Yes□No
	s creation of a wate	r supply, reservoir,	pond, lake, waste la	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	impoundment:				
i. Fulpose of the	e impoundment: oundment, the prin	cinal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water strea	ms DOther specify:
ii. If a water imp	oundment, the prin	cipul source of the	water.	Ground water Burrace water stream	msother speetry.
iii. If other than v	vater, identify the ty	ype of impounded/o	contained liquids and	d their source.	
iv Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment	Volume:	million gallons; surface area: _	acres
v. Dimensions o	of the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	height; length	ucres
				ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con	crete):
D.2. Project Op					
				uring construction, operations, or both?	Yes No
		ation, grading or in	stallation of utilities	or foundations where all excavated	
materials will r If Yes:	emain onsite)				
	irnose of the excava	ation or dredging?			
<i>ii.</i> How much ma	terial (including ro	ck, earth, sediments	s. etc.) is proposed t	o be removed from the site?	
	nat duration of time				
				ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them.
iv Will there be	onsite dewatering	or processing of av	cavated materials?		Yes No
	be				
v. What is the to	otal area to be dredg	ged or excavated? _		acres	
vi. What is the m	naximum area to be	worked at any one	time?	acres	
			or dredging?	feet	
	avation require blas				☐Yes ☐No
ix. Summarize sit	e reclamation goals	and plan:			
					-
h Would the pro-	nosad action course	or regult in alteration	on of increase or de	crease in size of, or encroachment	Yes No
into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? If Yes:					
i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic					

<i>ii.</i> Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:		
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?If Yes, describe:	□ Yes □ No	
iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:		
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:		
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):		
proposed method of plant removal: if all and in its two two and will be used as a if a reachast(a).		
• if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):		
v. Describe any proposed reciamation/initigation following disturbance.		
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? If Yes:	Z Yes □No	
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:		
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?If Yes:	Z Yes □No	
Name of district or service area: <u>City of Rochester Water Bureau</u>		
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	∠ Yes □ No	
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No	
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
 Do existing lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes No	
<i>iii.</i> Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	□Yes □No	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:		
Source(s) of supply for the district:		
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?If, Yes:	☐ Yes☐No	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:		
Date application submitted or anticipated:		
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:		
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:		
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: gallons/min	ute.	
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? If Yes:	∠ Yes □ No	
 i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: no significant change gallons/day ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all 		
approximate volumes or proportions of each): Sanitary wastewater		
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	Z Yes □No	
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Frank E. VanLare Wastewater Treatment Facility		
Name of district: Monroe County Pure Waters		
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	Z Yes □No	
• Is the project site in the existing district?	Z Yes □No	
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes Z No	

 Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes □ No
 Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? 	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Describe extensions of capacity expansions proposed to serve this project.	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec	ifving proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	frying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, of describe subsurface disposal plans).	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	□Yes □No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
u. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p	roperties
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	roperties,
groundwater, on-site surface water of off-site surface waters):	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
- It to surface waters, rachary receiving water bodies of wettands.	
W/11	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□Yes□No
iv. Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	□Yes□No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	✓ Yes N o
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
Temporary power generation for construction equipment via generators or air compressors as needed.	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, rarge boners, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes□No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
	□Yes□No
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes:			
 i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): 			
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air polluta quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., di	•	□Yes□No	
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply) \(\subseteq Randomly between hours of to	:	∏Yes∏No	
iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parkinv. If the proposed action includes any modification of exis	sting roads, creation of new roads or change in existing a	☐Yes☐No ccess, describe:	
 vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? 			
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the project of the p	he proposed action:	Yes No	
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project other):iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to		Yes No	
Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction:	 ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday:		

If y	Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? /es: Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: Construction equipment, M-F during normal working hours. Post-construction noise will be typical of urban setting.	☑ Yes □No
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe:	☐ Yes ☑ No
If	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? yes: Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	□Yes □No
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe:	□Yes□No
0.]	Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	✓ Yes □No
	ng construction, typical odors associated with construction vehicles and operations may be present. Best management practices mize any impact.	will be followed to
If N i. ii.	Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? Yes: Product(s) to be stored	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Y	Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? Yes: Describe proposed treatment(s):	☐ Yes ☐No
	Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
If N	of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? Yes: Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: Construction:	
	Operation:	
iii.	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction:	
	Operation:	


s. Does the proposed action include construction or modi	fication of a solid waste ma	inagement facility?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes:i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed			g, landfill, or
other disposal activities):			
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:	ombustion/thomasl tractma	ent on	
 Tons/month, if transfer or other non-c Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal t 		ent, or	
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	years		
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial	generation, treatment, stor	age, or disposal of hazardous	Z Yes □No
waste?			
If Yes: Name(s) of all horandous westes or constituents to be	announted handled on man	and at facility	
 i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be Potential asbestos abatement associated with existing buildi 			
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving h			
ACBM potentially used in building due to age.			
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated TBD to			
iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec		s constituents:	
Disposal in accordance with applicable laws, rules, and regu	lations.		
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing			Z Yes □ No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:			
Mill Seat Landfill or other designated facility			
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous v	wastes which will not be ser	nt to a nazardous waste facint	y:
E C'4 and Cather at Donner of A 42 and			
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action			
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses.i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the	nroject cite		
Urban Industrial Commercial Resid		al (non-farm)	
	(specify): School		
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:			
			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land uses and covertypes on the project site.	Current	Acreage After	Change
Covertype			Change
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious	Acreage	Project Completion	
rough, buildings, and other paved of impervious	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)
surfaces	Acreage 1.7	Project Completion 1.7	(Acres +/-)
surfaces • Forested		5 1	(Acres +/-)
surfaces • Forested • Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	1.7	1.7	(Acres +/-)
 surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) 	1.7 0 0	1.7 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0
surfaces • Forested • Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	1.7	1.7	(Acres +/-) 0 0
surfaces • Forested • Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) • Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) • Surface water features	1.7 0 0	1.7 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0 0
surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	1.7 0 0 0	1.7 0 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0 0 0
surfaces • Forested • Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) • Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) • Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) • Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	1.7 0 0	1.7 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0 0
surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	1.7 0 0 0	1.7 0 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0 0 0
surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	1.7 0 0 0 0 0	1.7 0 0 0 0 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	1.7 0 0 0 0	1.7 0 0 0 0	(Acres +/-) 0 0 0 0 0 0

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain: Facility grounds are open to the public after school hours.	✓ Yes No
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities:	□Yes□No
December and site contains an existing december.	□Yes□No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	L TesLINO
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
• Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility If Yes:	□Yes□No ity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
	
::: Describe and development constraints due to the union called master activities.	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□Yes□No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurre	d:
 h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: 	□Yes□ No
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): V00086	✓ Yes N o
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	
V00086 - W Main & Brown, Voluntary Cleanup Program. Rite Aid near Bulls Head Plaza, UG contaminated found during Phase 2 inv	restinations from frmr
service station. Former UG tanks and contaminated soils removed and disposed of. Site remediated and closed out 12/02/1999.	windamone moni iiilli

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		□Yes☑No
If yes, DEC site ID number:		
 Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any use limitations: 		
 Describe any use limitations: Describe any engineering controls: 		
Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?		☐ Yes ☐ No
• Explain:		<u>_</u>
<u>-</u>		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	<u>20</u> feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: Urban Land	100 %	
	%	
	%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:0-6 f	eet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: ✓ Well Drained:		
☐ Moderately Well Drained:% of site		
Poorly Drained% of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: ☐ 0-10%: ☐ 10-15%: ☐ 15% or greater:	100_% of site	
<u> </u>	% of site	
☐ 15% or greater:	% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:		☐ Yes ✓ No
1. Compare modern fractions		
h. Surface water features.i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including st	reams, rivers,	□Yes ☑ No
ponds or lakes)?		
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		□Yes✔No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		□Yes ☑ No
<i>iii.</i> Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated b state or local agency?	y any federal,	⊥ Y es ¥INO
<i>iv.</i> For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the fo	llowing information:	
Streams: Name	•	
Lakes or Ponds: Name	Classification	
• Wetlands: Name	Approximate Size	
• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)		□xz□Nz.
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of waterbodies?	luanty-impaired	☐ Yes Z No
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		□Yes ☑ No
j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain?		□Yes Z No
k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain?		□Yes ☑ No
1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source.	irce aquifer?	□Yes ☑ No
If Yes: i. Name of aquifer:		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species		ect site:	
gray squirrel cottontail rabbit	Canada geese various small mammals		
songbirds	whitetail deer		
n. Does the project site contain a designated		ty?	☐ Yes Z No
If Yes:	8		
i. Describe the habitat/community (compos	ition, function, and basis for	designation):	
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:			
iii. Extent of community/habitat:		0.0000	
• Currently:			
Following completion of project as Coin or loss (indicate or):			
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -):		acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of pla	ant or animal that is listed b	y the federal government or NYS as	☐ Yes ✓ No
endangered or threatened, or does it contai	n any areas identified as hab	itat for an endangered or threatened s	pecies?
_			-
According to the NYS DEC / Natural Heritage Progra	am, no E/T/R species exist in or	adjacent to the project site.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
p. Does the project site contain any species of	of plant or animal that is list	ed by NYS as rare, or as a species of	☐ Yes Z No
special concern?			
According to the NYS DEC / Natural Heritage Progra	am, no E/T/R species exist in or	adjacent to the project site.	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area current	ly used for hunting, trapping	g, fishing or shell fishing?	☐Yes Z No
If yes, give a brief description of how the pro	posed action may affect that	t use:	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or N	Vear Project Site		
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, loca		ural district cartified nursuant to	☐Yes ✓No
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-		irai district certified pursuant to	1 es \[\black[140]
If Yes, provide county plus district name/nu			
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly	productive soils present?		□Yes ✓ No
<i>i.</i> If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?			
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):			
c. Does the project site contain all or part of	or is it substantially contig	ious to, a registered National	□Yes ⊘ No
Natural Landmark?	, ,	, ,	_ _
If Yes:			
		☐ Geological Feature	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, ir	ncluding values behind desig	nation and approximate size/extent: _	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjo	in a state listed Critical Env	ironmental Area?	☐Yes ✓No
If Yes:	in a state fisied Critical Elly	nomional incu.	
i. CEA name:			
ii. Basis for designation:			
iii. Designating agency and date:			
			_

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclu State or National Register of Historic Places?	
If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: □Archaeological Site □Historic Building ii. Name:	or District
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site in	
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project siIf Yes:i. Describe possible resource(s):	te? ☐Yes ☑ No
ii. Basis for identification:	
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federa scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes:	al, state, or local ✓ Yes □No
 i. Identify resource: Genesee Valley Park, Greenway Trail, various City and local parks ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, s etc.): scenic resource iii. Distance between project and resource: 0-5 miles. 	tate historic trail or scenic byway,
i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recre	ational Rivers ☐ Yes ✓ No
Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes:	ational Rivers Tester No
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:	
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	∏Yes ∏No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, pleas measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	se describe those impacts plus any
G. VerificationI certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name SEE VERIFICATION PAGE Date	
Signature Title	



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	V00270 , C828124 , C828125 , 828102 , C828102
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No

E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - Name]	Third Ward Historic District, Immaculate Conception Roman Catholic Church Complex
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.

 Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context or 	f the project.		
1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts: Proposed action involves the construction of 9,435 SF addition and expansion to existing parking lot.			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	it Z NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□nc) Z	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	\square	
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	Ø	
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	Ø	
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d	abla	

wastewater treatment facilities.

Other impacts: Increase in impervious surface may result in slight increase in stormwater and construction activities will require stormwater control.			Ø
4. Impact on groundwater	·		
The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aqui (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
	•		
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	✓ NO) [YES
g en y man en que en	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair or upgrade?	, Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
		I	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO_2) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N_2O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	ЕЗс		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
	l		l
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>	nd b.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

O Louis de la Acadhada Danasana			
9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)		✓NO YES	
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.			
If Tes, unswer questions a - g. If No , go to section To.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	_ _	
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) <u>/</u>	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	Ø	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		Ø
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:			
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f	\square	
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	Ø	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	Ø	
F.,			
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	✓ No	o [YES
If Tes, unswer questions a - e. If No, go to section 12.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ No	O [YES
If I co , anone, questions a c. If I co , go to section 12.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.	s. VN	о 🗌	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
		I	I
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.		O 🔽	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	V	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	Ø	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	Ø	
e. Other Impacts: Construction of a 9,435 SF addition will have additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC.			Ø
15 T			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		\square
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o		Ø

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	Ø	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:			
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. at <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)	O 🔽	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	Ø	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h		Ø
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	Ø	
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	Ø	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	Ø	
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	Ø	
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	Ø	
1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	Ø	
m. Other impacts: Asbestos abatement associated with interior renovations and rehabilitations.			Ø

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO	Y	'ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	□NO	√ 7	ÆS.
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	V	
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4		
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a		
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	Ø	
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	☑	
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	Ø	
			Z

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

 Attach additional sheets, as needed. 				
SEE ATTACHED				
Determination	of Significance -	Type 1 and U	nlisted Actions	
SEQR Status:	Unlisted			
Identify portions of EAF completed for this Projection	ect: 🔽 Part 1	✓ Part 2	✓ Part 3	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support	information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is	the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, t statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	therefore, an environmental impact
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the following conditions which will be	
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED	
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environm statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to eximpacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.	
Name of Action: Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2	
Name of Lead Agency: Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board	
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Tom Richards	
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:
For Further Information:	
Contact Person: Thomas M. Renauto, Executive Director	
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue	
Telephone Number: 585-512-3806	
E-mail: trenauto@aol.com	
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sen	at to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally loother involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	ocated (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Rochester School Modernization Program - Phase 2

George Mather Forbes / School #4 Full Environmental Assessment Form – Part 3, continuation

The Proposed Action, the borrowing of \$435 million for Phase 2 of the Facilities Modernization Program, includes work at up to 13 schools within the District. With work at some of the schools classified as Unlisted and others as Type 1 under the SEQRA definition for such actions (6 NYCRR Part 617.2), Full Environmental Assessment Forms were prepared for each school to assist in the assessment of the overall environmental impacts of all 13 schools included in Phase 2. Although none of the potential impacts identified in Part 2 of this Environmental Assessment for George Mather Forbes (School #4) are considered significant or a "Large Impact," this Part 3 response was prepared to address the items that were determined to have a potential "Moderate" impact, in order to ensure a thorough examination of the proposed action. Those impacts that were considered to have "No Impact" will not be elaborated upon further in the expanded narrative for Part 3 below.

1e. Impact on Land (timeframe) – As the overall Phase 2 project is currently proposed, it involves the renovation and upgrade to up to 13 schools over a period of two (2) years. Due to the number of schools being worked on, the need for a multi-year effort is the only achieved means of modernizing the schools in timely manner without substantial disruption in student curricula. Smaller groups of schools will be sub-phased within the two-year timeframe. The work specifically proposed at this school will likely take a year to finish following bidding. As a result, the phased approach has been considered to have no adverse significant environmental impacts.

1h. Impact on Land (other) - The physical impacts associated with the proposed work at School #4 include the construction of a 9,435 SF addition and an additional 14 parking spaces to the current lot. The building addition will change the appearance of the school from public roadways. However, the addition will provide needed support service space that is currently identified as deficient in the current Modernization Master Plan. Any façade treatments will be designed and constructed to match the existing façade and provide a positive contribution to the facilities appearance in the neighborhood. Additional parking space will be a benefit to the staff and visitors as a deficiency currently exists. As currently identified, these impacts were deemed insignificant.

3h. Impacts on Surface Water (erosion) - The proposed construction at the school will increase the amount of impervious surfaces on the campus. As a result, there may be slight increases in stormwater runoff. As currently identified, this impact is insignificant. Design and construction of the stormwater management system for the parking area / addition will be done in accordance with City of Rochester guidelines to ensure impacts remain insignificant. A stormwater pollution prevention plan is not required as the City utilizes a combined sewer system with water treatment prior to discharge.

10b. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources (other) – The school is not on the State or National Register nor is it located in or adjacent to a Historic District;

however, it is located in a sensitive archeological area. As part of the SEQRA process, initial consultation with SHPO was undertaken to determine level of impact and additional follow up information that will be needed. To date, the project has been submitted and accepted by the Preservation Office and they will provide further clarification and information as the project moves forward and will continue to be coordinated with as necessary.

14e. Impact on Energy (other) – The proposed addition will result in additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC needs. Although there will be an increase in demand, the new structure will be designed and built in accordance with the most recent building and energy codes, resulting in a building that will likely be more efficient than the existing building. Interior renovations of the school include mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, asbestos abatement, and interior finish upgrades. The proposed renovations will likely have a beneficial impact due to the improved energy efficiency of mechanical equipment. Additionally, the school will be utilizing the local utility grid for electricity and gas usage, which has sufficient capacity for this project. As a result, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

15a/c. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light (noise/odor) – Construction work associated with the proposed scope of work at the school will likely result in short-term noise and odor impacts. These impacts are insignificant as the work will take place during daytime hours and will only minimally impact adjacent properties. In addition, best practices for construction in accordance with NYS Education Department 8 NYCRR Part 155 will be followed as well as any applicable City protocols related to construction to ensure that impacts remain insignificant.

16c. Impact on Human Health (site remediation) – The school is located within 2,000 feet of a site listed on the NYS DEC Environmental Site Remediation database in accordance with RCRA. Current information for the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) site in the vicinity indicates that it has been adequated remediated and closed out as of December 1999. In addition, the school is not itself listed nor directly adjacent to this sites, and no spills have been noted nearby. Although not anticipated, if any contaminated soils or groundwater is encountered during construction, NYS DEC will be notified immediately and all subsequent work will be coordinated with them. Therefore, no significant adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.


16m. Impact on Human Health (other) – As part of the proposed work at the school, asbestos abatement is anticipated in conjunction with interior renovations and rehabilitations as a result of the age of the structure. The amount of abatement will be determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the amount of material encountered. A plan will be prepared by the subsequent contractors for this school in accordance with applicable rules, regulations, and laws to ensure all material is safely contained and disposed of without harm to workers or the immediate community; therefore, no significant adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

18f. Consistency with Community Character (character) - The impact on community character is largely a positive one, in that the improvements to the school will improve the school's ability to serve as a community resource. Although the building additions will change the exterior appearance of the building and grounds, the changes can be viewed as an improvement as the addition will replace any temporary trailers that are currently located there and address identified space deficiencies. The addition will be more in character with the design of the current structure. Additionally, recreational facilities will be retained at the school, with an opportunity for improvements.

To help ensure that the proposed improvements to each school meets the needs of the students, staff, and the greater school community, RJSCB has set a Building Advisory Committee (BAC) for each school. The BAC's include representatives from RJSCB, School District, the City of Rochester, the Design Professionals, school parents and community and neighborhood groups. The BAC's provide a means for facilitating effective communication and serve as a liason among the various stakeholders, including school staff, parents, neighbors, community groups, the District, and the City of Rochester. They will provide a opportunity for continued coordination and input on matters during design and construction phases of the project, including the use of swing space for temporary relocation of students. Utilizing off-site swing space is the best way to protect the safety, health and welfare of students, faculty and staff of the school and minimize disruptions to the educational process and will be determined as the project continues to move forward and identified in subsequent sub phases.

Construction at the school will also generate additional employment in the neighborhood. Although temporary, the influx of workers has the potential to boost sales at area businesses, especially retail and services that depend on nearby employment centers, such as restaurants, delis, gas stations and convenience stores.

Program Biograph: George Mather Forbes School 4



Background & Concept

The George Mather Forbes School 4 is a two story school building located in the Southwest Quadrant of the City (RCSD South Elementary Choice Zone). The original school building was constructed in 1935. Currently, 72 % of the existing classrooms are below the SED minimum floor area criteria (see diagrams). School 4 is occupied as a Kindergarten through 8th grade school and will be converted into a Pre-Kindergarten through 6th grade school. The proposed concept includes the demolition of a non-accessible 1970 classroom addition and the addition of an eight classroom building link. The addition will add the necessary classroom space, replacing the 1970. The addition will occupy a similar footprint as the demolished classroom wing which will conserve the site.

Infrastructure Issues

A majority of the School 4 building will require upgraded finishes. Facility infrastructure work includes the replacement of portions of the roof, wardrobe door replacement, masonry and parapet rehabilitation, alterations to suit program, the replacement of interior finishes and doors, toilet room renovations, and the replacement of most of the existing mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems.

Strategic Challenges

Site topography precludes the construction of a two station gymnasium addition. The concept retains the undersized, single gym station multi-purpose room. Site activities also preclude the construction of a separate on-site bus loop. Expansion of on-site parking is required to accommodate the parking demand and bus traffic. Physical constraints contribute to the net results that 18% of classrooms will remain below the SED Standard for minimum classroom size.



Proposed Program Summary

Location / Address: 198 Dr. Samuel McCree Way 14611

Original Date: 1935 Addition Dates: 1970

Existing Building Gross Area: 69,014 gross square feet (gsf) Existing Non-Accessible Addition: 7,726 gsf (to be demolished)

Proposed Addition Area: 9,435 gsf

Total Proposed Gross Area: 70,723 gsf

Previous Grade Structure: K — 8th

Planned Grade Structure: Pre K — 6th

Current 2015-2016 Enrollment: 515 PK/8 Students

Planned Enrollment: 398 PK/6 Students

Existing Context

Site Highlights: The existing site is adjacent to City parkland. The site would benefit from strategic land acquisition for parking lot and bus drop-off expansion. The concept maintains the current parkland and play area site which requires the bus drop-off within the parking lot expansion.

Note: Shadowed classrooms indicate below SED minimum area criteria



Existing Second Floor



Existing First Floor



Existing Ground Floor

Core Model "Test Fit" Summary


	Pre K	Kindergarten	Grades 1 - 3	Grades 4 - 6	Grades 7 - 8	Self-Contained	Enrollment Flex
	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Special Ed CR's	Classrooms
Interchangeable Classrooms	2	2	6	6	0	3	1

Specialized Functions:

Elementary Science Classroom	0
7th / 8th Grade Science Classroom	0
Special Education Resource Room	1
Music Classroom - General/Vocal	1
Music Classroom - Instrumental	1
Vocal / Band Ensemble Classroom	0
Art Classroom	1
Computer Classroom	1
Family & Consumer Science	0
Technology Lab / Shop	0
Other Thematic Classroom	1
In School Suspension (ISS) / ATS	1

Gym (dedicated)	0
Multipurpose Gym /Auditorium	1
Library	1
CSE Office / Conference Room	1
ELA Specialist Room	1
Math Specialist Room	1
Reading Teacher Room	1
Primary Project Room	1
Social Worker Office	1
Psychologist Office	1
OT / PT Room	1
Speech Room	1
40	


ESOL Room	1
Parent Liaison Room	1
Main Office Suite	1
Secure Main Entrance (Lock Box)	Yes
Accessible Main Entrance	Yes
School Safety Officer Office	1
Cafeteria	1
Multipurpose Cafeteria / Auditorium	0
Kitchen / Servery	1
Teacher Workroom	1
Parent / PTSA Room	1
Agency Partner Room	1



- Proposed New Construction









for School

Legend: Light Rehabilitation Moderate Reconstruction Heavy Reconstruction Structural Reconstruction Addition






70,723 sf

None Exists On-Site

and None Proposed (Remains Curbside)

72 Existing Spaces, 86 Proposed for a

Net Increase of 14



PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Pre K-6 with 2-Strand Program Model



Dag Hammerskjold / School #6

595 Upper Falls Blvd, Rochester, NY 14605

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:

Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2			
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):			
School No 6 / Dag Hammerskjold, 595 Upper Falls Blvd, Rochester, NY 14605			
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
The Proposed Action is the procurement of funding for Phase 2 of the Rochester City School additions and renovations at 13 school sites. An Environmental Assessment Form has been Proposed Action will be based upon the Lead Agency's review of individual school's environ 2 program. This EAF is specific to the work at School No. 6 (SED 26-16-00-01-0-006). Two on the east side (stairwell) and a second-story overbuild on the east side (classrooms & libroschisting parking lot is also proposed to be reconfigured/expanded to the south with additions involve converting the adjacent lawn space to parking for the expansion. Other site work coand other miscellaneous site elements as well as the relocation of the playground south of the generally include mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, asbetter placement will include, but not be limited to brick/masonry repointing, replacement	n prepared for each school. The determental impacts as well as the cumulo additions are proposed totaling 16 ary). Two transportable classroom all buddy spaces for a total of 125 spansists of reconstruction of existing she existing parking lot to another locates abatement and interior finish uppersonance.	ermination of significance for the illative impacts of the collective Phase 0.18 SF (312 SF footprint) - one-story units will be removed (1,765 SF). The vaces (increase by 13). This will idewalks, pavement, lawn, fencing, cation onsite. Interior building work will pgrades. Exterior building	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: 585-512-3	806	
Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board	E-Mail:		
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue	-		
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14621	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone: 585-512-3	806	
Thomas M. Renauto, Executive Director	E-Mail: trenauto@aol.		
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue			
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	
Rochester	NY	14621	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone: 585-262-8	3100	
Rochester City School District	E-Mail:		
Address: 131 West Broad Street			
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14614	
	(,	

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponassistance.)	nsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, ta	ax relief, and any othe	r forms of financial	
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)		
a. City Council, Town Board, ✓Yes□No or Village Board of Trustees	City Hall/Council - Approval	TBD		
b. City, Town or Village ☐Yes ☑No Planning Board or Commission				
c. City Council, Town or ☐Yes ☑No Village Zoning Board of Appeals				
d. Other local agencies ✓ Yes No	RJSCB - Final Approval, RCSD - Approval	April 4, 2016 (tent.)		
e. County agencies ☑ Yes ☐ No	COMIDA	TBD		
f. Regional agencies ✓ Yes No	RG&E - Energy Rebates	TBD		
g. State agencies ✓Yes No	NYSED - Smart Schools Bond Act & Permit, DASNY, NYSERDA - Energy Rebates	TBD		
h. Federal agencies ☐Yes☑No				
i. Coastal Resources.i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	/aterway?	□Yes ☑ No	
ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program?iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area?				
C. Planning and Zoning				
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.				
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the ☐Yes☐No only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? • If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. • If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1				
C.2. Adopted land use plans.				
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?			✓Yes□No □Yes✓No	
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?				
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s):				
NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor				
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? If Yes, identify the plan(s):				

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? R-3	Z Yes□No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	∠ Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	□Yes□No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Rochester City School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? _City of Rochester PD	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?	
d. What parks serve the project site? Baden Park	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, components)? Civic/educational	include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 4.3 acres 4.3 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? %16,018 SF Units:	✓ Yes No No housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□Yes Z No
If Yes, <i>i.</i> Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed?	□Yes ☑ No
e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: ii. If Yes: Total number of phases anticipated Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year Anticipated completion date of final phase month year Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progres determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	et include new resid				☐Yes Z No
If Yes, show num	nbers of units propo		m 12 11	M 1: 1 F 1 (6	
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion					
of all phases					
g. Does the propo	osed action include	new non-residentia	l construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	Z Yes□No
If Yes,					
	of structures				
				70 width; and180 length 16,018 square feet	
11		•		•	
				l result in the impoundment of any	☐ Yes ☑ No
If Yes,	s creation of a wate	r supply, reservoir,	pond, take, waste is	agoon or other storage?	
	e impoundment:				
ii. If a water imp	e impoundment: oundment, the princ	cipal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water strea	ms Other specify:
::: If ath an thou a					
iii. If other than v	vater, identify the ty	ype of impounded/o	contained liquids and	d their source.	
iv. Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons; surface area: _	acres
v. Dimensions o	of the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	height;length	
vi. Construction	method/materials f	for the proposed da	m or impounding str	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con-	crete):
D.2. Project Op	erations				
		any excavation mi	ning or dredging d	uring construction, operations, or both?	TVes ZNo
				or foundations where all excavated	1031110
materials will r					
If Yes:					
<i>i</i> .What is the pu	irpose of the excava	ation or dredging?			
				o be removed from the site?	
• Over what duration of time?					
			1 110		
	onsite dewatering be.				☐Yes☐No
li yes, descii	De				
v. What is the to	otal area to be dredg	red or excavated?		acres	
vi. What is the m	naximum area to be	worked at any one	time?	acres	
				feet	
viii. Will the exca	avation require blas	ting?			☐Yes ☐No
ix. Summarize sit	e reclamation goals	and plan:			
h Would the same	nosad action course	on regult in alternation	on of increase or de	grange in size of or angues shower	
			on of, increase or de ch or adjacent area?	crease in size of, or encroachment	☐ Yes Z No
If Yes:	115 Welland, Watero	oaj, morenne, oca	on or adjacont area:		
	vetland or waterbod	y which would be	affected (by name, v	vater index number, wetland map numb	er or geographic
description):					

<i>ii.</i> Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placem alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in sq	
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□Yes□No
iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?If Yes:	☐ Yes☐No
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
• purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? If Yes:	Z Yes □No
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:no_significant change_gallons/day	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? If Yes:	Z Yes □ No
Name of district or service area: City of Rochester Water Bureau	
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	✓ Yes No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes ✓ No
 Do existing lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes No
<i>iii</i> . Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	☐Yes No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?If, Yes:	☐ Yes Z No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: gallons/mi	nute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? If Yes:	✓ Yes □No
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: no significant change gallons/day	
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe al	
approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
anit <u>ary wastewater</u>	
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	Z Yes □No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Frank E. VanLare Wastewater Treatment Facility	
Name of district: Monroe County Pure Waters	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	✓ Yes □No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes □No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes Z No

Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	Z Yes □No
 Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? 	☐Yes ☑No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Describe extensions of capacity expansions proposed to serve this project.	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
• What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	citying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, of describe subsurface disposal plans).	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	☐Yes Z No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p	oronerties
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	roperties,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□Yes□No
<i>iv.</i> Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	☐ Yes ☐ No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	Z Yes □No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
<i>ii.</i> Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
Temporary power generation for construction equipment via generators or air compressors as needed.	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
a Will any air amission sources named in D.2 f (about) arming a NW State Air Designation. Air Deville Designation	DVac ZINa
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	□Yes ✓ No
If Yes:	
<i>i.</i> Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	□Yes□No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (includend fills, composting facilities)? If Yes:		∐Yes Z No
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination medelectricity, flaring):		enerate heat or
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutary quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., discount).		□Yes ☑ No
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply) \(\subseteq \) Randomly between hours of	c):	∏Yes ∏ No
 iii. Parking spaces: Existing iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking v. If the proposed action includes any modification of exist proposed action includes any modif	ng? sting roads, creation of new roads or change in existing a	☐Yes☐No ccess, describe:
vii Will the proposed action include access to public transpor other alternative fueled vehicles? viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or pedestrian or bicycle routes?	portation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the project of the p	the proposed action:	Yes No
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project other):iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to		Yes No
Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction:	 ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday:	

If y	Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? yes: Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	✓ Yes □No
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe:	☐ Yes ☑ No
If	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? yes: Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	☐ Yes Z No
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe:	□Yes□No
0.]	Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	✓ Yes □No
	ng construction, typical odors associated with construction vehicles and operations may be present. Best management practices mize any impact.	will be followed to
If N	Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? Yes: Product(s) to be stored Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year) Generally describe proposed storage facilities:	☐ Yes ☑ No
If Y	Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s):	☐ Yes ☑No
r. V	i. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	Yes No Yes No
i.	Yes: Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: Construction: tons per (unit of time) Operation: tons per (unit of time) Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waster Construction:	
	Operation:	
iii.	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction:	
	• Operation:	


s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?				
If Yes: i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed	for the site (e.g. recycling o	er transfer station compostin	g landfill or	
other disposal activities):	for the site (e.g., recycling o	i transfer station, composting	g, iaiidiiii, oi	
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:				
Tons/month, if transfer or other non-control transfer or othe		nt, or		
• Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal to				
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	years			
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial	generation, treatment, stora	ge, or disposal of hazardous	Z Yes □No	
waste? If Yes:				
<i>i.</i> Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	generated handled or mana	ged at facility:		
Potential asbestos abatement associated with existing buildi				
<i>ii.</i> Generally describe processes or activities involving h				
ACBM potentially used in building due to age.				
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated _TBD_to	ons/month			
<i>iv.</i> Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec		constituents:		
Disposal in accordance with applicable laws, rules, and regu				
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing			✓ Yes No	
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:Mill Seat Landfill or other designated facility				
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous v	wastes which will not be sen	t to a hazardous waste facilit	v.	
in two describe proposed management of any mazardous	wastes which will not be sen	t to a nazardous waste racing		
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action				
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site				
a. Existing land uses.				
i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the				
☑ Urban ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Resid		al (non-farm)		
☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:	(specify): <u>School</u>			
u. If this of uses, generally describe.				
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.				
		1 A A C	CI	
Land use or Covertype	Current	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)	
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)	
surfaces	2.8	2.8	0	
• Forested	0	0	0	
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	0	0	0	
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	0	0	0	
Agricultural		_		
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	0	0	0	
Surface water features	_			
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0	0	0	
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0	0	0	
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0	0	0	
• Other	-	-		
Describe: Maintained lawn	1.5	1.5	0	
		1.0	•	

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain: Field accessible by the public after school hours	∠ Yes□No
 d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities: 	∐Yes , No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	☐ Yes ✓ No
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
• Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility If Yes:	□Yes ☑ No ity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
m. Describe any development constraints due to the prior sond waste activities.	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin	☐ Yes ✓ No
property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	1CSW_1V0
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurre	ed:
 h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: 	☐Yes ☑ No
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii Is the president within 2000 feet of any site in the MVCDEC Environmental Site Developing details and	☐ Yes Z No
<i>iii.</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	LI LESIMINO
<i>iv.</i> If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	
2 jes to (1), (1) of (11) above, describe current status of she(s).	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		☐ Yes ✓ No
If yes, DEC site ID number:		
 Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any use limitations: 		
 Describe any use limitations: Describe any engineering controls: 		
Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?		☐ Yes ☐ No
• Explain:		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	<u>20</u> feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: Urban Land	100_%	
	%	
	%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: 0-6 f	eet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: % of site		
✓ Moderately Well Drained:100_% of site		
☐ Poorly Drained% of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 🗾 0-10%:	100_% of site	
10-15%:	% of site	
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: ☐ 0-10%: ☐ 10-15%: ☐ 15% or greater:	% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?		☐ Yes ✓ No
If Yes, describe:		
h. Surface water features.		
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including st ponds or lakes)?	reams, rivers,	□Yes☑No
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		□Yes ☑ No
If Yes to either i or ii , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated b	y any federal,	☐Yes Z No
state or local agency?		
iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the fo	•	
• Streams: Name		
Lakes or Ponds: NameWetlands: Name	Classification	
Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)	ripproximate Size	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of	quality-impaired	☐ Yes Z No
waterbodies?		
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		☐Yes Z No
j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain?		
		☐Yes ☑No
k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain?	10.0	☐Yes ☑ No
1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole sou If Yes:	arce aquiter?	□Yes ☑ No
i. Name of aquifer:		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species		ect site:	
gray squirrel cottontail rabbit	Canada geese various small mammals		
songbirds	whitetail deer		
n. Does the project site contain a designated		ity?	☐ Yes Z No
If Yes:	8		
i. Describe the habitat/community (compos	sition, function, and basis fo	r designation):	
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:			
iii. Extent of community/habitat:		0.040.0	
• Currently:			
• Following completion of project as			
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -):		acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of pl	ant or animal that is listed b	y the federal government or NYS as	☐ Yes ✓ No
endangered or threatened, or does it contai			
_			
According to the NYS DEC / Natural Heritage Progra	am, no E/T/R species exist in o	adjacent to the project site.	
		,	
p. Does the project site contain any species of	of plant or animal that is list	ed by NYS as rare, or as a species of	□Yes √ No
special concern?	•	•	
According to the NYS DEC / Natural Heritage Progra	am, no E/T/R species exist in o	adjacent to the project site.	
		,	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area current	ly used for hunting, trappin	g, fishing or shell fishing?	☐Yes Z No
If yes, give a brief description of how the pro			
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or N	Joan Draiget Site		
	*	1 1' . '	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, loca		iral district certified pursuant to	□Yes Z No
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25- If Yes, provide county plus district name/nu			
if ites, provide county plus district hame/hu			
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly	productive soils present?		□ Yes ☑ No
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?			
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):			
c. Does the project site contain all or part of	or is it substantially contig	uous to a registered National	☐Yes Z No
Natural Landmark?	, or is it substantially conting	aous to, a registered i vational	1050110
If Yes:			
i. Nature of the natural landmark:	Biological Community	☐ Geological Feature	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, ir	ncluding values behind design	gnation and approximate size/extent: _	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjo	in a state listed Critical En-	ironmental Araa?	✓ Yes No
If Yes:	un a state fisieu Chitical Env	nonnental Alea?	V 1 CS NO
<i>i.</i> CEA name: Open-Space Zoning Districts			
<i>ii.</i> Basis for designation: Local importance			
iii. Designating agency and date: City of Ro			

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places? If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District ii. Name: iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	☐ Yes No
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	Z Yes □No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:	□Yes ☑ No
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: i. Identify resource: Genesee Valley Greenway	✓ Yes □No
 ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.): scenic resource iii. Distance between project and resource: 1-2 miles. 	scenic byway,
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 	☐ Yes No
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	□Yes □No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those in measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	npacts plus any
G. VerificationI certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name SEE VERIFICATION PAGE Date	
Signature Title	



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	No
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No

E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.

 Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project. 			
1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli		
h. Other impacts: Proposed action involves the construction of 16,018 SF of additions and expansion to existing parking lot.			Ø

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	it Z NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□nc) Z	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	\square	
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	Ø	
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	Ø	
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d	abla	

wastewater treatment facilities.

Other impacts: Increase in impervious surface may result in slight increase in stormwater and construction activities will require stormwater control.			Ø
4 Impact on groundwater	·		
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
	•		
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.			YES
g en y man en que en	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair or upgrade?	, Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
		I	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO_2) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N_2O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	ЕЗс		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
	l		l
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>	nd b.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

O Lune of an Anathod's December			
9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)	✓NO YES		
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.			
If Tes, unswer questions a - g. If No , go to section To.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	_ _	
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) <u>/</u>	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	Ø	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		Ø
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:			
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f	Ø	
 The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. 	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	Ø	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	Ø	
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	√ N0	o [YES
J va J va	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.		O 🔽	YES
If tes, answer questions a - c. If No, go to section 15.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	Ø	
c. Other impacts: Located adjacent to a City Park, which is zoned as Open Space and considered a CEA in the City of Rochester.			Z

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Vas" appropriate questions a sea of "No", so to Section 14	s. V	о 🗌	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.		O 🔽	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	Ø	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	Ø	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	\square	
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	Ø	
e. Other Impacts: Construction of a 3,025 SF addition will have additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC.			Ø
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC	V	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		Ø
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o		Z

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	V	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	\square	
f. Other impacts:			
	I		
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. at <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	Ø	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	Ø	
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh	Ø	
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh	\square	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	Ø	
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	Ø	
1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	Ø	
m. Other impacts: Asbestos abatement associated with interior renovations and rehabilitations.			Ø

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO	Y	'ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	□NO	√ 7	ÆS.
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	V	
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4		
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a		
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	Ø	
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	☑	
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	Ø	
			Z

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

 Attach additional sheets, as needed. 				
SEE ATTACHED				
Determination	of Significance -	Type 1 and U	nlisted Actions	
SEQR Status:	Unlisted			
Identify portions of EAF completed for this Projection	ect: 🔽 Part 1	✓ Part 2	✓ Part 3	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support	information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is	the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, t statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	therefore, an environmental impact
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the leasure of the following conditions which will be required by the following conditions which will be	
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED	
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environm statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to eximpacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.	
Name of Action: Rochester School Modernization Program – Phase 2	
Name of Lead Agency: Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board	
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Tom Richards	
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:
For Further Information:	
Contact Person: Thomas M. Renauto, Executive Director	
Address: 1776 North Clinton Avenue	
Telephone Number: 585-512-3806	
E-mail: trenauto@aol.com	
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sen	at to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally loother involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	ocated (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Rochester School Modernization Program - Phase 2

Dag Hammerskjold / School #6
Full Environmental Assessment Form – Part 3, continuation

The Proposed Action, the borrowing of \$435 million for Phase 2 of the Facilities Modernization Program, includes work at up to 13 schools within the District. With work at some of the schools classified as Unlisted and others as Type 1 under the SEQRA definition for such actions (6 NYCRR Part 617.2), Full Environmental Assessment Forms were prepared for each school to assist in the assessment of the overall environmental impacts of all 13 schools included in Phase 2. Although none of the potential impacts identified in Part 2 of this Environmental Assessment for Dag Hammerskjold (School #6) are considered significant or a "Large Impact," this Part 3 response was prepared to address the items that were determined to have a potential "Moderate" impact, in order to ensure a thorough examination of the proposed action. Those impacts that were considered to have "No Impact" will not be elaborated upon further in the expanded narrative for Part 3 below.

1e. Impact on Land (timeframe) – As the overall Phase 2 project is currently proposed, it involves the renovation and upgrade to up to 13 schools over a period of two (2) years. Due to the number of schools being worked on, the need for a multi-year effort is the only achieved means of modernizing the schools in timely manner without substantial disruption in student curricula. Smaller groups of schools will be sub-phased within the two-year timeframe. The work specifically proposed at this school will likely take a year to finish following bidding. As a result, the phased approach has been considered to have no adverse significant environmental impacts.

1h. Impact on Land (other) - The physical impacts associated with the proposed work at School #2 include the construction of a 16,018 SF addition and an additional 13 parking spaces adjacent to the current lot. The majority of the building addition will consist of an overbuild (2nd story addition), although it will still change the appearance of the school from public roadways. The addition will provide needed classroom and support service space that is currently identified as being deficient and will replace existing temporary trailers that have been used for classroom instruction in the past, providing permanent space for students without the need to leave the building during inclement weather and providing the same level of services as other classroom space in the school. Any façade treatments will be designed and constructed to match the existing façade and provide a positive contribution to the facilities appearance in the neighborhood. Additional parking space will be a benefit to the staff and visitors as a deficiency currently exists. As currently identified, these impacts were deemed insignificant.

3h. Impacts on Surface Water (other) - The proposed construction at the school will increase the amount of impervious surfaces on the campus. As a result, there may be slight increases in stormwater runoff. As currently identified, this impact is insignificant. Design and construction of the stormwater management system for the parking area / addition will be done in accordance with City of Rochester guidelines to ensure impacts

remain insignificant. A stormwater pollution prevention plan is not required as the City utilizes a combined sewer system with water treatment prior to discharge.

10b. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources (sensitive archeological) – The school is not on the State or National Register nor is it located in or adjacent to a Historic District; however, it is located in a sensitive archeological area. As part of the SEQRA process, initial consultation with SHPO was undertaken to determine level of impact and additional follow up information that will be needed. Correspondence from SHPO indicated that there would be "No Impact" on historic or archeological resources

12c. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas (other) – The school is located adjacent to Baden Park, zoned as Open Space (O-S) by the City or Rochester and as such considered a Critical Environmental Area. The proposed addition and parking expansion is shown as being contained within the existing school property and therefore no impact to the adjacent CEA. Due to this, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

14e. Impact on Energy (other) – The proposed addition will result in additional energy demands for electricity and HVAC needs. Although there will be an increase in demand, the new structure will be designed and built in accordance with the most recent building and energy codes, resulting in a building that will likely be more efficient than the existing building. Interior renovations of the school include mechanical, electrical and plumbing upgrades, technology upgrades, asbestos abatement, and interior finish upgrades. The proposed renovations will likely have a beneficial impact due to the improved energy efficiency of mechanical equipment. Additionally, the school will be utilizing the local utility grid for electricity and gas usage, which has sufficient capacity for this project. As a result, there is no anticipated significant adverse environmental impact associated with this action.

15a/c. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light (noise/odor) – Construction work associated with the proposed scope of work at the school will likely result in short-term noise and odor impacts. These impacts are insignificant as the work will take place during daytime hours and will only minimally impact adjacent properties. In addition, best practices for construction in accordance with NYS Education Department 8 NYCRR Part 155 will be followed as well as any applicable City protocols related to construction to ensure that impacts remain insignificant.


16m. Impact on Human Health (other) – As part of the proposed work at the school, asbestos abatement is anticipated in conjunction with interior renovations and rehabilitations as a result of the age of the structure. The amount of abatement will be determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the amount of material encountered. A plan will be prepared by the subsequent contractors for this school in accordance with applicable rules, regulations, and laws to ensure all material is safely contained and disposed of without harm to workers or the immediate community; therefore, no significant adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

18g. Consistency with Community Character (other) - The impact on community character is largely a positive one, in that the improvements to the school will improve the school's ability to serve as a community resource. Although the building additions will change the exterior appearance of the building and grounds, the changes can be viewed as an improvement as the addition will replace any temporary trailers that are currently located there and address identified space deficiencies. The addition will be more in character with the design of the current structure. Additionally, recreational facilities will be retained at the school, with an opportunity for improvements.

To help ensure that the proposed improvements to each school meets the needs of the students, staff, and the greater school community, RJSCB has set a Building Advisory Committee (BAC) for each school. The BAC's include representatives from RJSCB, School District, the City of Rochester, the Design Professionals, school parents and community and neighborhood groups. The BAC's provide a means for facilitating effective communication and serve as a liason among the various stakeholders, including school staff, parents, neighbors, community groups, the District, and the City of Rochester. They will provide a opportunity for continued coordination and input on matters during design and construction phases of the project, including the use of swing space for temporary relocation of students. Utilizing off-site swing space is the best way to protect the safety, health and welfare of students, faculty and staff of the school and minimize disruptions to the educational process and will be determined as the project continues to move forward and identified in subsequent sub phases.

Construction at the school will also generate additional employment in the neighborhood. Although temporary, the influx of workers has the potential to boost sales at area businesses, especially retail and services that depend on nearby employment centers, such as restaurants, delis, gas stations and convenience stores.

Program Biograph: Dag Hammarskjold School 6



Background & Concept

The Dag Hammerskjold School 6 is a two story school building located in the Northeast Quadrant of the City (RCSD Northeast Elementary Choice Zone). The original school building was constructed in 1962. Currently, 0% of the existing classrooms are below the SED minimum floor area criteria. School 6 is currently swing space for The Children's School of Rochester (15) and is occupied as a Kindergarten through 6th grade school and will be converted into a four strand Pre-Kindergarten through 6th grade school for School 22. The proposed concept includes the addition of a two-story classroom wing to be constructed on the south end of the building where the existing playground sits and a gym expansion/stage addition.

Infrastructure Issues

A majority of the school 6 building will require upgraded finishes. Facility infrastructure work includes the replacement of the roof, exterior doors, wardrobe doors, masonry and parapet rehabilitation, alterations to suit program, the replacement of interior finishes and doors, toilet room renovations, and the replacement of most of the existing mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems.

Strategic Challenges

The two story classroom wing addition shown averts the major complications and costs associated with vertical expansion over-top an existing classroom wing, which is an alternative concept that was investibated to preserve the outdoor playfield area adjacent to the school. A second alternative involves a reduced program (hybrid 3/2 strands) in the lieu of the four strand program proposed.



Proposed Program Summary

Location / Address: 595 Upper Falls Blvd. 14605 Original Date: 1962

Addition Dates: 1969, 1994

Existing Building Gross Area: 65,977 gross square feet (gsf)
Existing Modular Building Area: 1,760 gsf (to be demolished)

Proposed Addition Area:

Total Proposed Gross Area:

Previous Grade Structure:

Planned Grade Structure:

Current 2015-2016 Enrollment:

Planned Enrollment:

Planned Enrollment:

Proposed Addition Area:

19 ,263 gsf

80,209 gsf


K – 6th

Pre K – 6th

317 K/6 Students

784 PK/6 Students


Existing Context (



Note: Shadowed classrooms indicate below SED minimum area criteria



Existing Second Floor



Existing First Floor

Core Model "Test Fit" Summary:


	Pre K	Kindergarten	Grades 1 - 3	Grades 4 - 6	Grades 7 - 8	Self-Contained	Enrollment Flex
	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Classrooms	Special Ed CR's	Classrooms
Interchangeable Classrooms	4	4	12	12	0	4	1

Specialized Functions:

Elementary Science Classroom	0
7th / 8th Grade Science Classroom	0
Special Education Resource Room	2
Music Classroom - General	2
Music Classroom - Instrumental	1
Vocal / Band Ensemble Classroom	0
Art Classroom	2
Computer Classroom	2
Family & Consumer Science	0
Technology Lab / Shop	0
Other Thematic Classroom	0
In School Suspension (ISS) / ATS	1

Gym	0
Multipurpose Gym /Auditorium	1
Library	1
CSE Office / Conference Room	1
ELA Specialist Room	1
Math Specialist Room	1
Reading Teacher Room	1
Primary Project Room	1
Social Worker Office	1
Psychologist Office	1
OT / PT Room	1
Speech Room	2

ESOL Room	2	
Parent Liaison Room	1	
Main Office Suite	1	
Secure Main Entrance	Yes	
Accessible Main Entrance	Yes	
School Safety Officer Office	1	
Cafeteria	1	
Multipurpose Cafeteria / Auditorium	0	
Kitchen / Servery	1	
Teacher Workroom	1	
Parent / PTSA Room	1	
Agency Partner Room	1	




- Proposed New Construction



PHASE II STRATEGIC PLAN

Rochester School Modernization Program





Proposed Scope of		
Work Summary		
Level of Work	Gross Sq. Footage	
Light Rehabilitation	17,402 sf	
Moderate Reconstruction	22,345 sf	
Neศะy Reconstruction	25;46 6 §f	
Subtotal	65,977 sf	
Addition	20,514 sf	
Grand Total	86 491 sf	

86,491 sf

for School

Legend: Light Rehabilitation

Moderate Reconstruction



PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Pre K-6 with 4-Strand Program Model

